STATE OF WASHINGTON

BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

ANJELITA LONGORIA FORNARA,

Complainant,

CASE 136327-U-23

Complaman

DECISION 13820 - PSRA

VS.

WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN, YOUTH, AND FAMILIES,

DECISION OF COMMISSION ON MOTION FOR TEMPORARY RELIEF

Respondent.

Anjelita Fornara, the complainant.

Cheryl L. Wolfe, Senior Counsel, Attorney General Robert W. Ferguson, for the Washington State Department of Children, Youth, and Families.

SUMMARY OF DECISION

The issue is whether the Commission should seek temporary relief for the complainant, Anjelita Fornara, in the superior court. The complainant has not established that she has "no fair or adequate remedy and would suffer irreparable harm if the status quo is not returned" before the completion of the administrative proceedings. WAC 391-45-430(5). We deny the motion for temporary relief.

PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On March 22, 2023, Fornara filed an unfair labor practice (ULP) complaint against the Washington State Department of Children, Youth, and Families (DCYF) alleging *Weingarten* violations and employer discrimination in retaliation for Fornara filing an unfair labor practice complaint. The agency issued a partial deficiency notice on April 5, 2023, to which Fornara filed three responses. After considering the responses, the ULP Administrator issued a decision finding a cause of action for employer discrimination in retaliation for filing an unfair labor practice

complaint and dismissing Weingarten allegations. Washington State Department of Children, Youth, and Families, Decision 13659 (PSRA, 2023).

The agency assigned the case to an Examiner. The Examiner held a hearing on January 9, 2024. The case is currently pending before the Examiner.

On February 9, 2024, Fornara filed a notice of intent to file a motion for temporary relief. On March 4, 2024, Fornara filed a motion for temporary relief and a motion for summary judgment with supporting affidavits. Fornara listed all the pending case numbers. The DCYF filed a response to the motion for temporary relief in case 138047-U-23 but did not specifically respond in this case.

ANALYSIS

Standard for Seeking Temporary Relief

The Commission is empowered to prevent unfair labor practices and may petition the superior court for appropriate temporary relief. RCW 41.56.160. The Commission does not seek temporary relief "unless it appears that one or more of the allegations in the complaint is of such a nature that, if sustained, the complainant would have no fair or adequate remedy and would suffer irreparable harm if the status quo is not returned pending the completion of administrative proceedings." WAC 391-45-430(5); *City of Spokane*, Decision 11673 (PECB, 2013), at 2; *Steilacoom School District*, Decision 2527 (EDUC, 1986) (granting a motion for temporary relief where the union had substantial likelihood of success on the merits, and the use of strike replacements would cause irreparable harm with no adequate legal remedy); *City of Tacoma*, Decision 5686 (PECB, 1996) (granting temporary relief where the implementation of a pending change to a civil service rule would cause irreparable harm, and an adequate legal remedy would not exist in the absence of maintaining the status quo); *Kiona Benton School District*, Decision 10865 (EDUC, 2010) (denying temporary relief when the union did not establish irreparable harm or lack of an adequate remedy).

Fornara has properly filed the March 4, 2024, motion for temporary relief. As required by WAC 391-45-430(1), on February 9, 2024, Fornara filed written notice of intent to file a motion for temporary relief.

Fornara Has Failed to Establish That She Is Without an Adequate Remedy or Will Suffer Irreparable Harm

Only in rare circumstances has the Commission found that a party demonstrated it lacked an adequate remedy or would suffer irreparable harm if the status quo were not maintained. *Steilacoom School District*, Decision 2527; *City of Tacoma*, Decision 5686. For example, in *City of Tacoma*, the Tacoma Police Union filed an unfair labor practice complaint alleging the employer unilaterally changed the civil service procedures for promotions. The Commission granted a joint request from the City of Tacoma and the Tacoma Police Union for temporary relief to prevent the employer from making promotions under recently implemented civil service rules. If the Tacoma Police Union prevailed on the unfair labor practice complaint, undoing the change would have created hardships for employees affected by the promotions, regardless of if they received the job. Thus, an adequate remedy did not exist if the status quo were not maintained pending the unfair labor practice proceedings.

Fornara requested the Commission seek an injunction to restore her employment status. The Commission's standard remedies are adequate to protect her from irreparable harm in her situation. The Commission has authority "to issue appropriate remedial orders" to return injured parties back, as nearly as possible, to the situation they would have enjoyed had no unfair labor practice been committed. RCW 41.80.120; *Okanogan Public Hospital District 4*, Decision 5809 (PECB, 1997), *aff'd*, Decision 5809-A (PECB, 1997). The remedy for termination includes an award of backpay and offer of reinstatement. *See City of Pasco*, Decision 504 (PECB, 1978), *aff'd*, Decision 504-A (PECB, 1978). The standard remedy requires an offending party to cease and desist and, if necessary, restore the status quo; make employees whole; post notice of the violation; and order the parties to bargain from the status quo. *City of Anacortes*, Decision 6863-B (PECB, 2001). In contrast to *City of Tacoma*, Fornara has not established that, should she prevail on her

DECISION 13820 - PSRA PAGE 4

unfair labor practice complaint, the Commission's standard remedy for unlawful discrimination—

backpay with interest and reinstatement—would not be adequate.

In her affidavit, Fornara asserts, "the slow pace of these unfair labor charges cannot

adequately protect me from immediate and ongoing harm inflicted by" the DCYF. The time

necessary for the Commission to process an unfair labor practice complaint has been found to be

insufficient to establish irreparable harm or a lack of an adequate legal remedy. Benton County,

Decision 13710-A (PECB, 2023) (denying temporary relief when the complainant moved for

temporary relief after the hearing but before the briefs were due). Fornara has not established that

allowing the administrative process to proceed will either cause her irreparable harm or will not

provide an adequate remedy.

<u>ORDER</u>

The motion for temporary relief is DENIED.

ISSUED at Olympia, Washington, this 16th day of April, 2024.

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

MARK BUSTO, Commissioner

ELIZABETH FORD, Commissioner