

STATE OF WASHINGTON

BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

In the matter of the petition of:

TEAMSTERS LOCAL 231

Involving certain employees of:

CITY OF ANACORTES

CASE 129605-E-17

DECISION 12782 - PECB

CORRECTED

DIRECTION OF CROSS-CHECK

Jennifer Thompson, Business Representative, for the Teamsters Local 231.

Emily Schuh, Director of Administrative Services, for City of Anacortes.

On August 17, 2017, the Teamsters Local 231 (union) filed a petition seeking certification as the exclusive bargaining representative of the library and museum employees at City of Anacortes (employer). Representation Case Administrator Dario de la Rosa conducted an investigation. The parties stipulated to the appropriateness of the petitioned-for bargaining unit but disagreed about the method to determine the question concerning representation. The employer objected to the use of the cross-check process and expressed a preference for a mail ballot election. A cross-check is appropriate.

DISCUSSION

This agency may determine questions concerning representation by either “(a) [e]xamination of organization membership rolls; (b) comparison of signatures on organization bargaining authorization cards; or (c) conducting an election specifically therefor.” RCW 41.56.060. A “comparison of signatures on organization bargaining authorization cards” is called a cross-check and involves comparing an employee’s signature on his or her authorization card against the employee’s signature on an existing employment record. If the signatures match, then the employee is deemed to have voted in favor of representation.

In order for the cross-check method to be used, the labor organization must (1) be the only organization petitioning to represent the at-issue employees and (2) submit a showing of interest demonstrating that at least 70 percent of the employees signed valid showing of interest cards in support of the labor organization. In *City of Redmond*, Decision 1367-A (PECB, 1982), and numerous subsequent decisions, the Commission and the Executive Director have refused to ignore the cross-check option or to write it out of the statute. Accordingly, the fact that an employer expresses a preference for the question concerning representation to be resolved by an election is not sufficient to disregard the statute and rule.

ANALYSIS

The wording on the showing of interest cards in this case clearly indicates that, by signing the card, the employee wishes to be represented by the union for the purposes of collective bargaining. Employees can be expected to read and give importance to the showing of interest cards they sign for a union. Employees can also be expected to read and give importance to any notice from this agency that is posted in the employer's workplace. The proposed bargaining unit description will be included on the investigation statement issued under WAC 391-25-220.

The union submitted a showing of interest in excess of the 70 percent required by WAC 391-25-391. Accordingly, this matter may be resolved through the cross-check procedures. If an employee desires to withdraw his or her authorization card in advance of a cross-check, the procedure for doing so is detailed in WAC 391-25-410(2). The instructions for an employee to withdraw his or her showing of interest card will be explained in the investigation statement.

ORDER

1. The employer shall immediately supply the Commission with copies of documents from its employment records which bear the signatures of the employees on the eligibility list stipulated by the parties.

2. A cross-check of records shall be made by the staff of the Public Employment Relations Commission for the appropriate bargaining unit described as

All regular full-time and regular part-time non-supervisory employees of the City of Anacortes, including Library and Museum employees, excluding uniformed employees, supervisors, confidential employees, and all other historically excluded employees.

to determine whether a majority of the employees in that bargaining unit have authorized the Teamsters Local 231 to represent them for purposes of collective bargaining.

ISSUED at Olympia, Washington, on the 19th day of October, 2017.

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION



MICHAEL P. SELLARS, Executive Director

This order may be appealed by filing timely objections with the Commission pursuant to WAC 391-25-590.



PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

112 HENRY STREET NE SUITE 300
PO BOX 40919
OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98504-0919

MARILYN GLENN SAYAN, CHAIRPERSON
MARK E. BRENNAN, COMMISSIONER
MARK R. BUSTO, COMMISSIONER
MIKESELLARS, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

RECORD OF SERVICE - ISSUED 10/19/2017

DECISION 12782 – PECB CORRECTED has been mailed by the Public Employment Relations Commission to the parties and their representatives listed below:

BY: DEBBIE BATES

CASE NUMBER: 129605-E-17

EMPLOYER: CITY OF ANACORTES

REP BY: LAURIE GERE
CITY OF ANACORTES
904 6TH ST PO BOX 547
ANACORTES, WA 98221
laurieg@cityofanacortes.org
(360) 299-1950

EMILY SCHUH
CITY OF ANACORTES
904 6TH ST PO BOX 547
ANACORTES, WA 98221-0547
emilys@cityofanacortes.org
(360) 299-1941

PARTY 2: TEAMSTERS LOCAL 231

REP BY: RICH EWING
TEAMSTERS LOCAL 231
1700 N STATE ST PO BOX H
BELLINGHAM, WA 98227-0298
rich@231teamsters.org
(360) 734-7780

JENNIFER THOMPSON
TEAMSTERS LOCAL 231
1700 N STATE ST
BELLINGHAM, WA 98225
jennifer@231teamsters.org
(360) 734-7780