1 STATE OF WASHINGTON	
STILL OF MISHINGION	
STILL OF MISHINGION	
2 BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION 3	
4 In the matter of a Petition)	
filed by	
WASHINGTON STATE COUNCIL OF) 6 COUNTY AND CITY EMPLOYEES,)	
7 Petitioner,) CASE NO. 899-C-77-37	
8 involving a Unit Clarification)	
9 (IAPK COUNTY)	
CLARK COUNTY,) 10 Employer.) DECISION NO. 290-A-PECB	
11)	
12	
13 This case is a petition for clarification of a bargaining	
14 unit to which the employer, Clark County, agreed, and which i	-
15 enforced until after a strike. The County now wants its fire	
16 inspectors removed from the unit. The fire inspectors are no	
17 uniformed personnel and do not participate in the police and	
18 firefighter pension system.	
19 The hearing officer held that the positions were properly	
 included in the unit and the employer appealed. The notice of appeal is deficient in that it does not 	
21 The notice of appeal is deficient in that it does not 22 specify wherein the decision of the hearing officer was	
arbitrary, capricious, contrary to law or not in accordance	
with testimony submitted at the hearing. But we bypass this	
25 point.	
26 Indeed, since the County concludes its brief by suggesting	ſ
27 a <u>Globe</u> election, 3 NLRB 294, App. Br. pg. 10, the appellant	
28 can hardly be taken seriously. A <u>Globe</u> election assumes that	
29 the unit would be appropriate with or without the contested	
30	
31 Decision, Page 1	

	۰. ۲
1	group, subject to the desires of the contested group of
2	employees. Hence, if a <u>Globe</u> election is appropriate, the
3	hearing officer's decision cannot be arbitrary and capricious,
4	contrary to law or contrary to the evidence.
5	No error is assigned to any specific finding of fact or
6	conclusion of law. Hence, the findings, conclusions and
7	decision are affirmed.
8	A point lurks in the record which the parties do not
9	address specifically, but we shall notice in passing.
10	The issue of the membership of the fire inspectors in the
11	unit was raised when the fire inspectors declined to honor a
12	picket line posted by their bargaining representative.
13	RCW 41.56.120 provides:
14	Nothing contained in this chapter shall permit or grant any public employee the right to strike
15	or refuse to perform his official duties.
16	Had the fire inspectors honored the picket line, an injunc-
17	tion under this section would doubtless have met the situation
18	in an hour or two.
19	The Order Clarifying Bargaining Unit should be, and it
20	hereby is, affirmed.
21	DATED: This $\underline{9^{Th}}$ day of December, 1977.
22	PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION
23	BY Mary Ellen Krug MARY FLLEN KRUG, Chairman
24	Č -
25	By Muhrel M. Beck
26	MICHAEL H. BECK, Commissioner
27	By Sand a. Robert
28	PAUL A. ROBERTS, Commissioner
29	
30	
31	Decision, Page Two