STATE OF WASHINGTON

BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

In the matter of the petition of:)
PUBLIC SAFETY EMPLOYEES, LOCAL 519) CASE 10250-C-93-604
For clarification of an existing bargaining unit of employees of:	DECISION 4923 - PECB
KING COUNTY	ORDER OF DISMISSAL
)

On February 10, 1993, the above-named petitioner invoked the authority of the Public Employment Relations Commission to resolve a dispute concerning the clarification of an existing bargaining unit. In its petition, the union requested that a group of four employees in the personnel section of the King County Department of Adult Detention be included in its existing bargaining unit. The union alleged that because of a change in circumstances, the classifications listed in the petition were performing the work of an existing bargaining unit which it represents.

On May 10, 1994, the Washington State Council of County and City Employees (WSCCCE) filed a Notice of Intervention. It was concerned that the instant clarification petition included an office technician II position that it currently represents.¹

On July 5, 1994, the Driver Sales and Warehouse Union, Teamsters Local 117, filed a petition for investigation of a question concerning representation which listed the same positions to be represented as had been included in the clarification petition.²

It was later determined that the clarification petition did not include the position which concerned WSCCCE.

² Case 11226-E-94-1849.

On August 12, 1994, a prehearing conference was scheduled in the representation case. Representatives of the parties to the clarification received copies of the prehearing conference notice, but did not attend the meeting. At the prehearing conference, the employer agreed to voluntarily recognize Local 117 as the exclusive bargaining representative of the positions in question.

On October 13, 1994, the Hearing Officer assigned to the clarification petition, Walter M. Stuteville, notified the parties of the events recounted above. The parties were further informed that because the resolution of the representation petition has determined the exclusive bargaining representative of the positions that were the subject of the clarification petition, the issue raised by the clarification petition was moot and, unless objection from any party was received, the case would be closed.

The Commission having received no notice of objection from any party to the above-entitled action, and being satisfied that no further formal proceedings are warranted;

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

ORDERED

The petition filed in the above matter is dismissed.

DATED at Olympia, Washington, this 28th day of November, 1994.

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

MARVIN L. SCHURKE, Executive Director

This order may be appealed by filing a petition for review with the Commission pursuant to WAC 391-35-210