

STATE OF WASHINGTON

BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

In the matter of the petition of:	)	
	)	
KENT POLICE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION	)	CASE 12303-E-96-2049
	)	
	)	DECISION 5489 - PECB
	)	
Involving certain employees of:	)	CERTIFICATION
	)	
CITY OF KENT	)	Representation Election
	)	Pursuant to Agreement
	)	of Parties

Frank Connelly, Representative, appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

Sue Viseth, Human Resource Director, appeared on behalf of the employer.

John Pagel, Representative, and James M. Cline, Attorney at Law, appeared on behalf of the intervenor, Kent Police Guild.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The above-named petitioner timely filed with the Public Employment Relations Commission a petition for investigation of a question concerning representation of employees of the above-named employer; said petition was accompanied by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient; and the employer declined voluntarily to extend recognition to the petitioner as the exclusive bargaining representative of its employees.

2. The organization(s) listed above as intervenors timely moved for intervention in the captioned proceedings; and said motion for intervention was in each case supported by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient.

3. These representation proceedings were conducted by the Commission in the bargaining unit described as:

ALL FULL-TIME AND REGULAR PART-TIME COMMISSIONED
LIEUTENANTS AND CAPTAINS OF THE CITY OF KENT POLICE
DEPARTMENT, EXCLUDING CONFIDENTIAL EMPLOYEES AND ALL
OTHER EMPLOYEES

4. All proceedings were conducted under the supervision of the Commission in a manner designed to afford the affected employees a free choice in the election of their bargaining representative, if any; a tally of the results was previously furnished to the parties and is attached hereto; and no meritorious objections have been filed with respect to these proceedings.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

The unit described in finding of fact number 3 is an appropriate unit for the purposes of collective bargaining within the meaning of RCW 41.56.060; and all conditions precedent to a certification have been met.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

CERTIFIED

The employees of the above-named employer employed in the appropriate collective bargaining unit described in finding of fact number 3 have chosen:

KENT POLICE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION

as their representative for the purposes of collective bargaining with their employer with respect to wages, hours and conditions of employment.

ISSUED at Olympia, Washington, this 28th day of March, 1996.

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION


MARVIN L. SCHURKE, Executive Director



PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

603 EVERGREEN PLAZA BUILDING, P. O. BOX 40919
OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98504-0919
(206) 753-3444

TALLY OF ELECTION BALLOTS

for CASE # 12303 - E - 96 - 2049

Instructions: See other side of this form.

Applicable Rule: See WAC 391-25-550.

The Public Employment Relations Commission has tabulated the ballots cast in the election conducted under the case number indicated above, and certifies that the results of the election are as follows:

EMPLOYER CITY OF KENT

- | | | |
|-----|--|----------|
| 1. | APPROXIMATE NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE VOTERS | <u>9</u> |
| 2. | VOID BALLOTS | <u>0</u> |
| 3. | VOTES CAST FOR: <u>KENT POLICE OFFICERS ASSN</u> | <u>7</u> |
| 4. | VOTES CAST FOR: <u>KENT POLICE GUILD</u> | <u>0</u> |
| 5. | VOTES CAST FOR: | |
| 6. | VOTES CAST FOR: | |
| 7. | VOTES CAST FOR: <u>NO REPRESENTATION</u> | <u>1</u> |
| 8. | VALID BALLOTS COUNTED (Total of lines 3 through 7) | <u>8</u> |
| 9. | CHALLENGED BALLOTS CAST | <u>0</u> |
| 10. | VALID BALLOTS COUNTED PLUS CHALLENGED BALLOTS (Total of lines 8 and 9) | <u>8</u> |
| 11. | NUMBER OF VALID BALLOTS NEEDED TO DETERMINE ELECTION | <u>5</u> |
| 12. | CHALLENGED BALLOTS (check one): | |
| | ☐ - ARE SUFFICIENT IN NUMBER TO AFFECT THE RESULTS OF THE ELECTION. | |
| | ☒ - DO NOT AFFECT THE OUTCOME OF THE ELECTION. | |
| 13. | THE RESULTS OF THE ELECTION APPEAR TO BE (check one): | |
| | ☐ - INCONCLUSIVE, SO THAT A RUNOFF ELECTION WILL BE NECESSARY. | |
| | ☒ - CONCLUSIVE, FAVORING THE CHOICE LISTED ON LINE <u>3</u> , ABOVE. | |

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

DATE ISSUED: March 20, 1996BY: Sally WELSON

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF OBSERVERS

The undersigned acted as authorized observers for the parties, and acknowledge service of a copy of this tally of ballots.

For Employer:	Title:	Date:	Telephone ()
For organization listed on Line 3:	Title:	Date:	Telephone ()
For organization listed on Line 4:	Title:	Date:	Telephone ()
For organization listed on Line 5:	Title:	Date:	Telephone ()
For organization listed on Line 6:	Title:	Date:	Telephone ()
For decertification petitioner:	Title:	Date:	Telephone ()