

STATE OF WASHINGTON

BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of)	TYPE OF PROCEDURE: (Check One)
AMALGAMATED TRANSIT UNION)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> - Representation Election
LOCAL NO. 1384)	<input type="checkbox"/> - Cross-Check of Records
Involving Certain Employees of)	CONDUCTED PURSUANT TO: (Check One)
LEWIS PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION BENEFIT))	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> - Consent Agreement
AREA d/b/a TWIN TRANSIT)	<input type="checkbox"/> - PERC Direction
		Case Number <u>4226-E-82-782</u>
		Decision Number <u>1538 - PECB</u>

CERTIFICATION

Appearances:

For Petitioner: BILLY P. CAMPBELL

For Employer: JAMES W. CARROL

.
.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The above-named Petitioner timely filed with the Commission a petition for investigation of a question concerning representation of employees of the above-named employer; said petition was accompanied by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient; and the employer declined voluntarily to extend recognition to the Petitioner as the exclusive bargaining representative of its employees.

2. The organization(s), if any, listed as intervenors above timely moved for intervention in the captioned proceedings; and said motion(s) for intervention was in each case supported by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient.

3. These representation proceedings were conducted by the Commission in the bargaining unit described as:

ALL FULL TIME AND REGULAR PART-TIME TRANSIT BUS OPERATORS,
EXCLUDING SUPERVISORS, CONFIDENTIALS, AND ALL OTHER EMPLOYEES.

4. All proceedings were conducted under the supervision of the Commission in a manner designed to afford the affected employees a free choice in the selection of their bargaining representative, if any; a tally of the results was previously furnished to the parties and is attached hereto; and no meritorious objections have been filed with respect to these proceedings.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The unit described in finding of fact number 3 is an appropriate unit for the purposes of collective bargaining within the meaning of RCW 41.56.060 ; and all conditions precedent to a certification have been met.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

CERTIFIED


The majority of the employees of the above named employer employed in the appropriate collective bargaining unit described in finding of fact number 3 have chosen:

AMALGAMATED TRANSIT UNION LOCAL 1384

as their representative for the purposes of collective bargaining with their employer with respect to wages, hours and conditions of employment.

Issued at Olympia, Washington, this 22nd day of November, 1982.

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION



MARVIN L. SCHURKE, Executive Director

STATE OF WASHINGTON
BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

TALLY SHEET

NAME OF EMPLOYER Lewis Public Transportation Benefit Area
d/b/a Twin Transit

CASE NUMBER 4226-E-82-782

PART 1 - CROSS-CHECK OF RECORDS

The undersigned agent of the Public Employment Relations Commission certifies that he/she has conducted a cross-check of records in the above case, and that the results were as follows:

~~Number of Employees in Bargaining Unit.....
Number of Employee Records Examined.....
Number of Employee Records Counted as Valid Evidence of Representation..~~

PART 2 - SECRET BALLOT ELECTION

The undersigned agent of the Public Employment Relations Commission certifies that the results of the tabulation of ballots cast in the election held in the above case, and concluded on the date indicated below, were as follows:

- 1. Approximate number of eligible voters..... 8
- 2. Void Ballots..... 0
- 3. Votes Cast For: Amalgamated Transit Union Local 1384 ... 7
- 4. Votes Cast For: _____ ... -
- 5. Votes Cast For: _____ ... -
- 6. Votes Cast For: NO REPRESENTATION..... 1
- 7. Valid Ballots Counted.(total of 3, 4, 5, and 6)..... 8
- 8. Challenged Ballots..... 0
- 9. Valid Ballots Counted plus Challenged Ballots (total of 7 and 8)..... 8
- 10. Number of Valid Ballots Needed to Determine Election..... 5

Challenges are sufficient in number to affect the results of the election.
 are not sufficient in number to affect the results of the election.
The results of the election appear to be inconclusive.
 conclusive favoring choice on line 3

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

DATE ISSUED 11/9/82

By Martha M. Newhoff

The undersigned acted as authorized observers in the counting and tabulating of ballots indicated above. We hereby certify that the counting and tabulating were fairly and accurately done, that the secrecy of the ballots was maintained, and that the results were as indicated above. We also acknowledge service of this tally.

For _____

For _____

For _____

For Judy Nelson