#### STATE OF WASHINGTON

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of	TYPE OF PROCEDURE: (Check One)
JEAN ELLIOTT, ET AL )	$\frac{X}{X}$ - Representation Election $\frac{X}{X}$ - Cross-Check of Records
Involving Certain Employees of PIERCE COUNTY	CONDUCTED PURSUANT TO: (Check One)  /X/ - Consent Agreement  /_/ - PERC Direction
	Case Number4311-E-82-803
	Decision Number 1568 - PECB

#### CERTIFICATION

#### Appearances:

For Petitioner: JEAN ELLIOTT
For Employer: KAYE ADKINS
For Intervenor: FRED VAN CAMP

### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The above-named Petitioner timely filed with the Commission a petition for investigation of a question concerning representation of employees of the above-named employer; said petition was accompanied by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient; and the employer declined voluntarily to extend recognition to the Petitioner as the exclusive bargaining representative of its employees.
- 2. The organization(s), if any, listed as intervenors above timely moved for intervention in the captioned proceedings; and said motion(s) for intervention was in each case supported by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient.
- 3. These representation proceedings were conducted by the Commission in the bargaining unit described as:  $\frac{1}{2}$

ALL FULL TIME ADULT PROBATION OFFICERS AND OFFICE ASSISTANT III'S OF THE PIERCE COUNTY PROBATION DEPARTMENT AND EXCLUDING ALL OTHER EMPLOYEES OF THE EMPLOYER.

4. All proceedings were conducted under the supervision of the Commission in a manner designed to afford the affected employees a free choice in the selection of their bargaining representative, if any; a tally of the results was previously furnished to the parties and is attached hereto; and no meritorious objections have been filed with respect to these proceedings.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The unit described in finding of fact number 3 is an appropriate unit for the purposes of collective bargaining within the meaning of RCW 41.56.060; and all conditions precedent to a certification have been met.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

#### CERTIFIED

The majority of the employees of the above named employer employed in the appropriate collective bargaining unit described in finding of fact number 3 have chosen:

#### NO REPRESENTATION

as their representative for the purposes of collective bargaining with their employer with respect to wages, hours and conditions of employment.

Issued at Olympia, Washington, this 21st day of January, 1983.

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT/RELATIONS COMMISSION

MARVIN L. SCHURKE, Executive Director

### STATE OF WASHINGTON

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

# TALLY SHEET

NAME OF PIERCE COUNTY	CASE NUMBER_4311-E-82-803
PART 1 - CROSS-CHECK OF RECORDS	· ·
The undersigned agent of the Public Emplo he/she has conducted a cross-check of rec sults were as follows:	yment Relations Commission certifies that ords in the above case, and that the re-
Number of Employees in Bargaining Unit	
Number of Employee Records Examined	•••••
Number of Employee Records Counted as Val	id Evidence of Representation
PART 2 - SECRET BALLOT ELECTION	
The undersigned agent of the Public Emplo the results of the tabulation of ballots case, and concluded on the date indicated	
1. Approximate number of eligible voters	····· <del>7</del>
	····· <u>O</u>
3. Votes Cast For: TEAMSTERS LOCAL 461	0
4. Votes Cast For:	•••
5. Votes Cast For:	•••
6. Votes Cast For: NO REPRESENTATION	6
7. Valid Ballots Counted.(total of 3, 4,	5, and 6) 6
8. Challenged Ballots	
9. Valid Ballots Counted plus Challenged	Ballots (total of 7 and 8)
10. Number of Valid Ballots Needed to Det	ermine Election4
Challenges are are sufficient in number.  The results of the election appear to be	r to affect the results of the election.  inconclusive.  conclusive favoring choice on line <u>b</u>
	PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION
DATE ISSUED <u>January 13, 1983</u> The undersigned acted as authorized obser	By Kenneth & Later h
fairly and accurately done, that the secretation that the results were as indicated above.	ecy of the ballots was maintained, and We also acknowledge service of this tally
For Pettion S. Wann	For
Heam I. W. Am	
F (200)	
For Employer -	For
Mark 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	