STATE OF WASHINGTON

BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of

CLASSIFIED PUBLIC EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION/WEA

Involving certain employees of

ONALASKA SCHOOL DISTRICT

CASE NO. 5838-E-85-1044

DECISION NO. 2263 - PECB

CERTIFICATION

Representation Election Pursuant to Agreement of Parties

Appearances:

For Petitioner: For Employer: For Intervenor: Doc Dengenis, Uniserv Representative Linda Armstrong, Business Manager Les Hayes, Business Representative

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The above-named Petitioner timely filed with the Public Employment Relations Commission a petition for investigation of a question concerning representation of employees of the above-named employer; said petition was accompanied by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient; and the employer declined voluntarily to extend recognition to the Petitioner as the exclusive bargaining representative of its employees.
- 2. The organization(s) listed above as intervenors timely moved for intervention in the captioned proceedings; and said motion for intervention was in each case supported by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient.
- 3. These representation proceedings were conducted by the Commission in the bargaining unit described as:
 - All full-time and regular part-time custodial, maintenance and grounds employees of the district, excluding supervisors, confidentials and all other employees.
- 4. All proceedings were conducted under the supervision of the Commission in a manner designed to afford the affected employees a free choice in the selection of their bargaining representative, if any; a tally of the results was previously furnished to the parties and is attached hereto; and no meritorious objections have been filed with respect to these proceedings.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

The unit described in finding of fact number 3 is an appropriate unit for the purposes of collective bargaining within the meaning of RCW $\,41.56.060$; and all conditions precedent to a certification have been met.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

CERTIFIED

The employees of the above named employer employed in the appropriate collective bargaining unit described in finding of fact number 3 have chosen:

ONALASKA CLASSIFIED PUBLIC EMPLOYEES

as their representative for the purposes of collective bargaining with their employer with respect to wages, hours and conditions of employment.

Issued at Olympia, Washington, this <a>10th day of July, 1985.

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

MARVIN L. SCHURKE, Executive Director

STATE OF WASHINGTON

BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

TALLY SHEET

NAME OF EMPLOYER ONALASKA SCHOOL DISTRICT	CASE NUMBER_	5838-E-85-1044
PART 1 - CROSS-CHECK OF RECORDS		
The undersigned agent of the Public Employment Relat he/she has conducted a cross-check of records in the sults were as follows:	e above case, a	nd that the re-
Number of Employees in Bargaining Unit	/	
Number of Employee Records Examined		
Number of Employee Records Counted as Valid Evidence	of Representa	tion
PART 2 - SECRET BALLOT ELECTION		
The undersigned agent of the Public Employment Relat the results of the tabulation of ballots cast in the case, and concluded on the date indicated below, wer	e election held re as follows:	in the above
1. Approximate number of eligible voters		
2. Void Ballots		····· <u>o</u>
3. Votes Cast For: ONALASKA CLASSIFIED PUBLIC EMPLO	OYEES	5
4. Votes Cast For: <u>SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL</u>	UNION	
5. Votes Cast For:		···
6. Votes Cast For:		
7. Votes Cast For: NO REPRESENTATION	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
8. Valid Ballots Counted.(total of 3, 4, 5, and 6).		<u>5</u>
9. Challenged Ballots	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
10. Valid Ballots Counted plus Challenged Ballots (1	total of 7 and	8)5_
11. Number of Valid Ballots Needed to Determine Elec	ction	<u>3</u>
Challenges are sufficient in number to affect	t the results o	of the election.
Challenges are sufficient in number to affect are not sufficient in number to affect are not sufficient in number to affect the results of the election appear to be conclusion.	usive. ive favoring ch	oice on line <u>3</u>
		ATIONS COMMISSION
DATE ISSUED $7/2/85$ By	may the	Aicoloff
The undersigned acted as authorized observers in the ballots indicated above. We hereby certify that the fairly and accurately done, that the secrecy of the that the results were as indicated above. We also	e counting and e counting and ballots was ma	tabulating/bf/ tabulating were intained, and
ForFor		
	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	A
ForFor		