In the Matter of the Petition of AUBURN POLICE OFFICERS GUILD

Involving certain employees of
CITY OF AUBURN

CASE NO. 5520-E-84-993
DECISION NO. 2127 - PECB
CERTIFICATION

Representation Election Pursuant to Agreement of Parties

Appearances:
For Petitioner: James J. Kelly, Director
For Employer: John Bereiter, City Attorney
For Intervenor: Russ 01son, Business Representative

## FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The above-named Petitioner timely filed with the Public Employment Relations Commission a petition for investigation of a question concerning representation of employees of the above-named employer; said petition was accompanied by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient; and the employer declined voluntarily to extend recognition to the Petitioner as the exclusive bargaining representative of its employees.
2. The organization(s) listed above as intervenors timely moved for intervention in the captioned proceedings; and said motion for intervention was in each case supported by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient.
3. These representation proceedings were conducted by the Commission in the bargaining unit described as:

Including: Commissioned law enforcement officers of City of Auburn Police Department, rank of sergeant and below.

Excluding: All other employees of the department.
4. All proceedings were conducted under the supervision of the Commission in a manner designed to afford the affected employees a free choice in the selection of their bargaining representative, if any; a tally of the results was previously furnished to the parties and is attached hereto; and no meritorious objections have been filed with respect to these proceedings.

## CONCLUSION OF LAW

The unit described in finding of fact number 3 is an appropriate unit for the purposes of collective bargaining within the meaning of RCW 41.56.060 ; and all conditions precedent to a certification have been met.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

## CERTIFIED

The employees of the above named employer employed in the appropriate collective bargaining unit described in finding of fact number 3 have chosen:

## AUBURN POLICE OFFICERS GUILD

as their representative for the purposes of collective bargaining with their employer with respect to wages, hours and conditions of employment.

Issued at 01ympia, Washington, this 27th day of December, 1984.


MARVIN L. SCHURKE, Executive Director

## PART I - CROSS-CHECK OF RECORDS

The undersigned agent of the Public Employment Relations Commission certifies that he/she has conducted a cross-check of records in the above case, and that the resuits were as follows:

Number of Employees in Bargaining Unit $\qquad$
Number of Employee Records Examined
Number of Employee Records Counted as Valid Evidence of Representation. $\qquad$

## PART 2 - SECRET BALLOT ELECTION

The undersigned agent of the Public Employment Relations Commission certifies that the results of the tabulation of ballots cast in the election held in the above case, and concluded on the date indicated below, were as follows:

1. Approximate number of eligible voters................................. 45
2. Void Ballots............................................................ 0
3. Votes Cast For: TEAMSTERS UNION, LOCAL $117 \ldots \quad 2$
4. Votes Cast For: AUBURN POLICE OFFICERS GUILD $\ldots 34$
5. Votes Cast For: $\qquad$ ... $\qquad$
6. Votes Cast For: NO REPRESENTATION............................................... 1
7. Valid Ballots Counted.(total of $3,4,5$, and 6 )........................... 37
8. Challenged Ballots....................................................................
9. Valid Ballots Counted plus Challenged -Ballots (total of 7 and 8 )..... 37
10. Number of Valid Ballots Needed to Determine Election....................... 19

Challenges $\frac{\square \text { are }}{\square \text { are not }}$ sufficient in number to affect the results of the election. The results of the election appear to be $\square$ inconclusive. conclusive favoring choice on line that the results were as indicated above. We also acknowledge service of this tally. For $\qquad$ For $\qquad$
$\qquad$


[^0]$\qquad$


[^0]:    For

