





## BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of

AUTOMOTIVE & SPECIAL SERVICES NO. 461 INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS

Involving Certain Employees of

PIERCE COUNTY (BUDGET AND FINANCE DEPARTMENT)

түре	OF PROCEDURE	: (Check One)			
/ <u>X</u> /	- Representa	ation Election			
//	- Cross-Chec	ck of Records			
CONDL	JCTED PURSUAN	NT TO: (Check One)			
/ <u>X</u> /	- Consent Ag	greement			
// - PERC Direction					
Case	Number	3934-E-82-739			
	sion Number				

# CERTIFICATION

#### Appearances:

For	Petitioner:	Lewis C. Hatfield
For	Employer:	Terry Sebring
For Intervenor:		Mary L. Brown, Washington State Council of County and City Employees

### FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The above-named Petitioner timely filed with the Commission a petition for investigation of a question concerning representation of employees of the abovenamed employer; said petition was accompanied by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient; and the employer declined voluntarily to extend recognition to the Petitioner as the exclusive bargaining representative of its employees.

2. The organization(s), if any, listed as intervenors above timely moved for intervention in the captioned proceedings; and said motion(s) for intervention was in each case supported by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient.

3. These representation proceedings were conducted by the Commission in the bargaining unit described as:

All full-time and regular part-time employees in the Budget and Finance Department covered by the following classifications:

Accounting Assistant I, II & III; Accountant I & II; Office Assistant I, II & III; Cashier/Investment Officer; Field Agent I, II & III; Grant Accountant I.

4. All proceedings were conducted under the supervision of the Commission in a manner designed to afford the affected employees a free choice in the selection of their bargaining representative, if any; a tally of the results was previously furnished to the parties and is attached hereto; and no meritorious objections have been filed with respect to these proceedings.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The unit described in finding of fact number 3 is an appropriate unit for the purposes of collective bargaining within the meaning of RCW <u>41.56</u>; and all conditions precedent to a certification have been met.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

## CERTIFIED

The majority of the employees of the above named employer employed in the appropriate collective bargaining unit described in finding of fact number 3 have chosen:

WASHINGTON STATE COUNCIL OF COUNTY AND CITY EMPLOYEES/AFL-CIO

as their representative for the purposes of collective bargaining with their employer with respect to wages, hours and conditions of employment.

\_, 19 <sup>82</sup> April Issued at <u>Olympia</u>, Washington, this <sup>22nd</sup> day of

awa

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

MARVIN L. SCHURKE, Executive Director

Jeku

STATE OF WASHINGTON

1/78

\*\*\* ·\*

BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

TALLY SHEET

NAME OF EMPLOYER	E COUNTY	CASE NUMBE	R3934-E-82-739
PART 1 - CROSS-	-CHECK OF RECORDS		
he/she has conduct sults were as foll	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	rds in the above case	, and that the re-
Number of Employee	es in Bargaining Unit Records Examined		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Number of Employee	Records Examined	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Number of Employee	e Records Counted as Vali	d Evidence of Represe	ntation
PART 2 - SECRET	BALLOT ELECTION		
the results of the case, and conclude	gent of the Public Employ tabulation of ballots c ed on the date indicated clatmer f umber ofteligible voters.	ast in the election h below, were as follow	eld in the above s:
2. Void Ballots.	A		<u>0</u>
3. Votes Cast For	AUTOMOTIVE & SPECIAL r: INTERNATIONAL BROTHER	SERVICES NU. 401	
	WASHINGTON STATE COUN r: AND CITY EMPLOYEES/AF	ICIL OF COUNTY	
	r:		•
	r: <u>NO REPRESENTATION</u>		
•	Counted.(total of 3, 4,		
	11ots		•
. •	Counted plus Challenged	·	
	id Ballots Needed to Dete		/
Challenges $\Box$ are $\mathbf{x}$ are The results of the	not sufficient in number e election appear to be	to affect the result inconclusive. conclusive favoring	s of the election. choice on line $\frac{2}{2}$
	,	PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT R	ELATIONS COMMISSION
DATE ISSUED	16,1982	By <u>Provident</u> R	Malole
ballots indicated fairly and accurate that the results we For <u>Jeamsle</u>	cted as authorized observabore. We hereby certificated above, that the secret were as indicated above. $461$	y that the counting a ecy of the ballots was We also acknowledge For	nd tabulating were maintained, and
	CHolfielal		-BON
For <u>Mary</u>	E #120 Brilon	For	
	٩		