STATE OF WASHINGTON

BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of TYPE OF PROCEDURE: (Check One) $/\overline{X}$ - Representation Election PUBLIC, PROFESSIONAL & OFFICE CLERICAL EMPLOYEES AND DRIVERS / - Cross-Check of Records LOCAL UNION NO. 763, AFFILIATED WITH THE IBT CONDUCTED PURSUANT TO: (Check One) Involving Certain Employees of /X / - Consent Agreement TOWN OF SULTAN / / - PERC Direction Case Number 5043-E-84-916 Decision Number 1914 - PECB

CERTIFICATION

Appearances:

For Petitioner: Michael Meglemre, Business Representative For Employer: Robert Drewel, Employer Representative

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The above-named Petitioner timely filed with the Commission a petition for investigation of a question concerning representation of employees of the above-named employer; said petition was accompanied by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient; and the employer declined voluntarily to extend recognition to the Petitioner as the exclusive bargaining representative of its employees.
- 2. The organization(s), if any, listed as intervenors above timely moved for intervention in the captioned proceedings; and said motion(s) for intervention was in each case supported by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient.
- 3. These representation proceedings were conducted by the Commission in the bargaining unit described as:
 - All full-time and regular part-time law enforcement officers employed by the Town of Sultan, excluding supervisors, confidential employees and all other employees of the employer.
- 4. All proceedings were conducted under the supervision of the Commission in a manner designed to afford the affected employees a free choice in the selection of their bargaining representative, if any; a tally of the results was previously furnished to the parties and is attached hereto; and no meritorious objections have been filed with respect to these proceedings.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The unit described in finding of fact number 3 is an appropriate unit for the purposes of collective bargaining within the meaning of $RCW_{\underline{}}$ 41.56.060; and all conditions precedent to a certification have been met.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

CERTIFIED

The majority of the employees of the above named employer employed in the appropriate collective bargaining unit described in finding of fact number 3 have chosen:

PUBLIC, PROFESSIONAL AND OFFICE-CLERICAL EMPLOYEES AND DRIVERS LOCAL 763 AFFILIATED WITH IBT

as their representative for the purposes of collective bargaining with their employer with respect to wages, hours and conditions of employment.

Issued at Olympia, Washington, this 27th day of April, 1984.

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

MARVIN L. SCHURKE, Executive Director

STATE OF WASHINGTON

BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

TALLY SHEET

NAME OF EMPLOYERTown of Sultan	CASE NUMBER 5043-E-84-916
PART 1 - CROSS-CHECK OF RECORDS	
	cords in the above case, and that the re-
Number of Employees in Bargaining Unit	
Number of Employee Records Examined	·····
Number of Employee Records Counted as Va	lid Evidence of Representation
PART 2 - SECRET BALLOT ELECTION	
The undersigned agent of the Public Employers the results of the tabulation of ballots case, and concluded on the date indicated	
1. Approximate number of eligible voters	s <u>3</u>
2. Void Ballots	_
3. Votes Cast For:	• • •
Public, Professional 6 5. Votes Cast For: Drivers Local 763 Af	and Office-Clerical Employees and filiated with IBT
7. Valid Ballots Counted.(total of 3, 4	, 5, and 6) <u>3</u>
8. Challenged Ballots	<u> </u>
9. Valid Ballots Counted plus Challenge	d Ballots (total of 7 and 8)
10. Number of Valid Ballots Needed to De	termine Election
Challenges are are not sufficient in number	er to affect the results of the election.
The results of the election appear to be	er to affect the results of the election. inconclusive. conclusive favoring choice on line 5
	PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION
DATE ISSUEDapril 18, 1984	By Fuel Rosensers
fairly and accurately done, that the second	ify that the counting and tabulating were
For	For
For	For