

STATE OF WASHINGTON

BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

In the matter of the petition of:)
)
KING COUNTY COURT PROTECTION)
GUILD) CASE 16830-E-02-2780
)
Involving certain employees of:) DECISION 7947 - PECB
)
KING COUNTY) CERTIFICATION
) Representation Election
) by Agreement of Parties
)
_____)

Jared C. Karstetter, Jr, Attorney at Law, for the petitioner.

David Levin, Labor Negotiator, for the employer.

Ken Troup, Union Representative, for the intervenor, Teamsters Union, Local 763.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The above-named petitioner filed with the Public Employment Relations Commission a petition for investigation of a question concerning representation of employees of the above-named employer. The petition was timely filed and was accompanied by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient.
2. The organization(s) listed above as intervenors timely moved for intervention in the proceedings, and each motion for intervention was supported by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient.
3. These representation proceedings were conducted by the Commission in the bargaining unit described as:

ALL FULL-TIME AND REGULAR PART-TIME COMMISSIONED OFFICERS
OF THE KING COUNTY SHERIFFS DEPARTMENT WORKING COURTHOUSE
SECURITY, EXCLUDING SUPERVISORS, CONFIDENTIAL EMPLOYEES,
DECISION 7947 - PECB

Page

DISTRICT COURT SECURITY, AND ALL OTHER EMPLOYEES.

4. All proceedings were conducted under the supervision of the Commission in a manner designed to afford the affected

employees a free choice in the selection of their bargaining representative, if any; a tally of the results previously furnished to the parties is attached hereto; and no meritorious objections have been filed with respect to these proceedings.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

1. The unit described in paragraph 3 of the foregoing Findings of Fact is an appropriate unit for the purposes of collective bargaining within the meaning of RCW 41.56.060.
2. All conditions precedent to a certification have been met.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

CERTIFIED

The employees of the above-named employer in the appropriate bargaining unit described in paragraph 3 of the foregoing Findings of Fact have chosen:

KING COUNTY COURT PROTECTION GUILD

as their representative for the purpose of collective bargaining with their employer.

Issued at Olympia, Washington, this 26th day of December, 2002.

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

MARVIN L. SCHURKE, Executive Director