STATE OF WASHINGTON

BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

In the matter of the Petition of:)
WASHINGTON STATE COUNCIL OF COUNTY AND CITY, EMPLOYEES) CASE NO. 7576-E-88-1299
) DECISION 3128 - PECB
Involving certain employees of:) CERTIFICATION
CITY OF KENT	Representation Election Pursuantto Agreement of Parties

Appearances:

For Petitioner:

Chris Dugovich, Business Representative

For Employer: Sandra Driscoll, Representative

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The above-named petitioner timely filed with the Public Employment Relations Commission a petition for investigation of a question concerning representation of employees of the above-named employer; said petition was accompanied by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient; and the employer declined voluntarily to extend recognition to the petitioner as the exclusive bargaining representative of its employees.
- 2. The organization(s) listed above as intervenors timely moved for intervention in the captioned proceedings; and said motion for intervention was in each case supported by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient.
- 3. These representation proceedings were conducted by the Commission in the bargaining unit described as:

REGULAR FULL-TIME AND REGULAR PART-TIME EMPLOYEES WHO WORK FOR THE CITY OF KENT IN THE DEPARIMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION; EXCLUDING SPECIFICALLY GOLF COURSE MAINTENANCE PERSONNEL, TEMPORARY, CONFIDENTIAL, SUPERVISORY AND ALL OTHER EMPLOYEES OF THE EMPLOYER.

4. All proceedings were conducted under the supervision of the Commission in a manner designed to afford the affected employees a free choice in the election of their bargaining representative, if any; a tally of the results was previously furnished to the parties and is attached hereto; and no meritorious objections have been filed with respect to these proceedings.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

The unit described in finding of fact number 3 is an appropriate unit for the purposes of collective bargaining within the meaning of RCW 41.56.060; and all conditions precedent to a certification have been met.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

CERTIFIED

The employees of the above named employer employed in the appropriate collective bargaining unit described in finding of fact number 3 have chosen:

NO REPRESENTATION

as their representative for the purposes of collective bargaining with their employer with respect to wages, hours and conditions of employment.

ISSUED at Olympia, Washington, this 21st day of February, 1989.

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

MARVIN L. SCHURKE, Executive Director

1/78

STATE OF WASHINGTON

BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

TALLY SHEET

NAME OF EMPLOYER <u>City of Kent (Parks and Recreation</u>	CASE NUMBER 7576-E-88-1299
PART 1 - CROSS-CHECK OF RECORDS	
The undersigned agent of the Public Employs he/she has conducted a cross-check of reconsults were as follows:	rds in the above case, and that the re-
Number of Employees in Bargaining Unit	••••••
Number of Employee Records Examined	
Number of Employee Records Counted as Valid	d Evidence of Representation
PART 2 - SECRET BALLOT ELECTION	
The undersigned agent of the Public Employed the results of the tabulation of ballots case, and concluded on the date indicated by	ast in the election held in the above
1. Approximate number of eligible voters.	<u>26</u>
2. Void Ballots	
3. Votes Cast For: unshington State Council	of County and City Employees 5
4. Votes Cast For:	
5. Votes Cast For:	
	20
7. Valid Ballots Counted.(total of 3, 4,	5, and 6)
8. Challenged Ballots	
9. Valid Ballots Counted plus Challenged I	Ballots (total of 7 and 8) <u>26</u>
10. Number of Valid Ballots Needed to Deter	rmine Election14
Challenges are are sufficient in number The results of the election appear to be	to affect the results of the election. inconclusive. conclusive favoring choice on line #6
	PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION
DATE ISSUED Floren 13, 1989	By Length A. Latich
DATE ISSUED <u>Forwary 13, 1989</u> The undersigned acted as authorized observe ballots indicated above. We hereby certify fairly and accurately done, that the secret that the results were as indicated above. For	y that the counting and tabulating were cy of the ballots was maintained, and
For	For