STATE OF WASHINGTON

BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

)

In the matter of the Petition of:

TACOMA ASSOCIATION OF MID-MANAGEMENT EMPLOYEES CASE NO. 5326-E-84-966

CERTIFICATION

DECISION NO. 2250-B - PECB

Involving certain employees of:

TACOMA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Representation Election Pursuant to Agreement of Party

Appearances:

For Petitioner:	Stephen M. Johnson
For Employer:	Neil O. Hanson
For Intervenor:	Dorothy Kippie

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The above-named petitioner timely filed with the Public Employment Relations Commission a petition for investigation of a question concerning representation of employees of the above-named employer; said petition was accompanied by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient; and the employer declined voluntarily to extend recognition to the petitioner as the exclusive bargaining representative of its employees.

2. The organization(s) listed above as intervenors timely moved for intervention in the captioned proceedings; and said motion for intervention was in each case supported by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient.

3. These representation proceedings were conducted by the Commission in the bargaining unit described as:

All full-time and regular part-time classified supervisors employed by Tacoma School District No. 10, excluding the superintendent of schools, chief administrative officer of the district, confidential employees, certificated employees and non-supervisory employees. 5326-E-84-966 Page 2

5

4. All proceedings were conducted under the supervision of the Commission in a manner designed to afford the affected employees a free choice in the selection of their bargaining representative, if any; a tally of the results was previously furnished to the parties and is attached hereto; and no meritorious objections have been filed with respect to these proceedings.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

The unit described in finding of fact number 3 is an appropriate unit for the purposes of collective bargaining within the meaning of RCW 41.56.060; and all conditions precedent to a certification have been met.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

CERTIFIED

The employees of the above named employer employed in the appropriate collective bargaining unit described in finding of fact number 3 have chosen:

TACOMA ASSOCIATION OF MID-MANAGEMENT EMPLOYEES

as their representative for the purposes of collective bargaining with their employer with respect to wages, hours and conditions of employment.

ISSUED at Olympia, Washington, this <u>5th</u> day of January, 1987.

-PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

MARVIN L. SCHURKE, Executive Director

STATE OF WASHINGTON

BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

TALLY SHEET

NAME OF	
EMPLOYERTACOMA SCHOOL DISTRICT	CASE NUMBER 5326-E-84-966
PART 1 - CROSS-CHECK OF RECORDS	***************************************
The undersigned agent of the Public Employ he/she has conducted a cross-check of reco sults were as follows:	ment Relations Commission certifies that rds in the above case, and that the re-
Number of Employees in Bargaining Unit	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Number of Employee Records Examined	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Number of Employee Records Counted as Vali	d Evidence of Representation
PART 2 - SECRET BALLOT ELECTION	
The undersigned agent of the Public Employ the results of the tabulation of ballots c case, and concluded on the date indicated	ast in the election held in the above
1. Approximate number of eligible voters.	<u>25</u>
2. Void Ballots	<u>0</u>
3. Votes Cast For: TACOMA ASSOCIATION OF TACOMA ASSOCIATION OF	MID-MANAGEMENT EMPLOYEES 21 PUBLIC SCHOOL PROFESSIONAL-
4. Votes Cast For: <u>TECHNICAL EMPLOYEES</u>	· · ·
5. Votes Cast For:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6. Votes Cast For:	···-
7. Votes Cast For: <u>NO REPRESENTATION</u>	······ <u> </u>
8. Valid Ballots Counted.(total of 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7) 22	
9. Challenged Ballots	<u>_</u>
10. Valid Ballots Counted plus Challenged Ballots (total of 8 and 9) 24	
11. Number of Valid Ballots Needed to Determine Election	
Challenges \square are sufficient in number The results of the election appear to be	to affect the results of the election. inconclusive. conclusive favoring choice on line <u></u>
	PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION
DATE ISSUED 12-22-86	By Jenddam
The undersigned acted as authorized observ ballots indicated above. We hereby certif fairly and accurately done, that the secre that the results were as indicated above.	vers in the counting and tabulating of Ty that the counting and tabulating were
For	For
·	

1/78