## STATE OF WASHINGTON

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

In the matter of the petition of:	) )
WASHINGTON STATE COUNCIL OF COUNTY AND CITY EMPLOYEES	) ) CASE 14001-E-98-2346
Involving certain employees of:	) DECISION 6437 - PECB
CITY OF PUYALLUP	, ) CERTIFICATION ) Representation Election ) Pursuant to Agreement ) of Parties

<u>Bill Keenan</u>, Representative, and <u>Brock Logan</u>, Representative, appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

<u>Richard Sokolowski</u>, Attorney at Law, and <u>Pam Taylor</u>, Human Resources Director, and <u>Lockheed Reader</u>, Police Chief, appeared on behalf of the employer.

Cline and Emmal by <u>James M. Cline</u>, Attorney at Law, and <u>Steve Mauer</u>, Representative, appeared on behalf of the intervener, Puyallup Police Officers Association.

# FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The above-named petitioner timely filed with the Public Employment Relations Commission a petition for investigation of a question concerning representation of employees of the above-named employer; said petition was accompanied by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient; and the employer declined voluntarily to extend recognition to the petitioner as the exclusive bargaining representative of its employees.
- 2. The organization(s) listed above as interveners timely moved for intervention in the captioned proceedings; and said motion for intervention was in each case supported by a showing of interest which as administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient.
- 3. These representation proceedings were conducted by the Commission in the bargaining unit described as:

ALL FULL-TIME AND REGULAR PART-TIME COMMUNICATIONS CENTER AND SUPPORT SERVICES EMPLOYEES OF THE CITY OF PUYALLUP POLICE DEPARTMENT, EX-

CLUDING SUPERVISORS, CONFIDENTIAL EMPLOYEES, CORRECTIONS OFFICERS, CRIME PREVENTION ASSISTANTS, AND ALL OTHER EMPLOYEES.

4. All proceedings were conducted under the supervision of the Commission in a manner designed to afford the affected employees a free choice in the selection of their bargaining representative, if any; a tally of the results was previously furnished to the parties and is attached hereto; and no meritorious objections have been filed with respect to these proceedings.

### CONCLUSION OF LAW

The unit described in finding of fact number 3 is an appropriate unit for the purposes of collective bargaining within the meaning of RCW 41.56.060; and all conditions precedent to a certification have been met.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

# **CERTIFIED**

The employees of the above named employer employed in the appropriate collective bargaining unit described in finding of fact number 3 have chosen:

WASHINGTON STATE COUNCIL OF COUNTY AND CITY EMPLOYEES

as their representative for the purpose of collective bargaining with their employer with respect to wages, hours and conditions of employment.

Issued at Olympia, Washington, this 2nd day of October, 1998.

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

MARVIN L. SCHURKE, Executive Director

For organization listed on Line 6:

For decertification petitioner:

# PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

603 EVERGREEN PLAZA BUILDING, P. O. BOX 40919 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98504-0919 (206) 753-3444

TALLY OF ELECTION BALLOTS for CASE # 14001 - E - 98 - 2346

Instructions: See other side of this form. Applicable Ru

Applicable Rule: See WAC 391-25-550.



The Public Employment Relations Commission has tabulated the ballots cast in the election conducted under the case number indicated above, and certifies hat the results of the election are as follows: **EMPLOYER** APPROXIMATE NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE VOTERS VOID BALLOTS ..... VOTES CAST FOR: NO REPRESENTATION ..... VALID BALLOTS COUNTED (Total of lines 3 through 7) CHALLENGED BALLOTS CAST...... VALID BALLOTS COUNTED PLUS CHALLENGED BALLOTS (Total of lines 8 and 9) ...... 10. NUMBER OF VALID BALLOTS NEEDED TO DETERMINE ELECTION ...... 11. 12. CHALLENGED BALLOTS (check one): ARE SUFFICIENT IN NUMBER TO AFFECT THE RESULTS OF THE ELECTION. DO NOT AFFECT THE OUTCOME OF THE ELECTION. THE RESULTS OF THE ELECTION APPEAR TO BE (check one): INCONCLUSIVE, SO THAT A RUNOFF ELECTION WILL BE NECESSARY. CONCLUSIVE, FAVORING THE CHOICE LISTED ON LINE \_\_\_\_\_\_, ABOVE. PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION Sept 24, 1998 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF OBSERVERS The undersigned acted as authorized observers for the parties, and acknowledge service of a copy of this tally of ballots. Title: ...... Date: ...... Telephone ( For Employer: ) ...... For organization listed on Line 3: For organization listed on Line 4: Title: ...... Telephone ( For organization listed on Line 5: Title: ...... Date: ...... Telephone ( ) .....

Title: ...... Date: ...... Telephone (

Title: ...... Date: ...... Telephone (