BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

| In the matter of the petition |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | CASE 9452-E-91-1570 |
| FIFE POLICE GUILD |  |
|  | DECISION 3952 - PECB |
| Involving certain employees of: |  |
|  | CERTIFICATION |
| CITY OF FIFE | Cross-Check Pursuant |
|  | to Agreement of |
|  | Parties |

## Appearances:

For Petitioner: James M. Cline, Attorney at Law
For Employer: Loren D. Combs, Attorney at Law

## FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The above-named petitioner timely filed with the Public Employment Relations Commission a petition for investigation of a question concerning representation of employees of the above-named employer; said petition was accompanied by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient; and the employer declined voluntarily to extend recognition to the petitioner as the exclusive bargaining representative of its employees.
2. These representation proceedings were conducted by the Commission in the bargaining unit described as:

EXCLUDES: CHIEF OF POLICE, OFFICERS ABOVE THE RANK OF
LIEUTENANT AND THE CONFIDENTIAL SECRETARY.
INCLUDES: ALL OTHER EMPLOYEES OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.
3. All proceedings were conducted under the supervision of the Commission in a manner designed to afford the affected employees a free choice in the selection of their bargaining representative, if any; a tally of the results was previously furnished to the parties and is attached hereto; and no meritorious objections have been filed with respect to these proceedings.

## CONCLUSION OF LAW

The unit described in finding of fact number 2 is an appropriate unit for the purposes of collective bargaining within the meaning of RCW 41.56; and all conditions precedent to a certification have been met.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

## CERTIFIED

The employees of the above named employer employed in the appropriate collective bargaining unit described in finding of fact number 3 have chosen:

## FIFE POLICE GUILD

as their representative for the purpose of collective bargaining with their employer with respect to wages, hours and conditions of employment.

ISSUED at Olympia, Washington, this 24th day of December, 1991.

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION


MARVIN L. SCHURKE, Executive Director


The Public EmploymentRelations Commission has tabulated the ballots cast in the election conducted under the case number indicated above, and certifies that the results of the election are as follows:

## EMPLOYER City of Fife

1. APPROXIMATE NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE VOTERS ..................................................................................................................... 19
2. VOID BALLOTS $\qquad$
$\qquad$
3. VOTES CAST FOR: Fife Police Guild 18
4. VOTES CAST FOR:
5. VOTES CAST FOR:
6. VOTES CAST FOR:
7. VOTES CAST FOR: NO REPRESENTATION
8. VALID BALLOTS COUNTED (Total of lines 3 through 7) $\qquad$
$\qquad$
9. CHALLENGED BALLOTS CAST 0
10. VALID BALLOTS COUNTED PLUS CHALLENGED BALLOTS (Total of lines 8 and 9) ................................................................... 18
11. NUMBER OF VALID BALLOTS NEEDED TO DETERMINE ELECTION .......................................................................................... 10
12. CHALLENGED BALLOTS (check one):
[ ] - ARE SUFFICIENT IN NUMBER TO AFFECT THE RESULTS OF THE ELECTION.
[4- DO NOT AFFECT THE OUTCOME OF THE ELECTION.
13. THE RESULTS OF THE ELECTION APPEAR TO BE (check one):
[ ] - INCONCLUSIVE, SO THAT A RUNOFF ELECTION WILL BE NECESSARY.
[子- CONCLUSIVE, FAVORING THE CHOICE LISTED ON LINE \# 3 , ABOVE.
date issued: Dee. 16, 1991
date Issub: : Dee. 16,1991
PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION
BY:


## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF OBSERVERS

The undersigned acted as authorized observos)
For Employer:
For organization listed on Line $3:$
For organization listed on Line 4:
For organization listed on Line 5:
For organization listed on Line $6:$
For decertification petitioner:

