STATE OF WASHINGTON FEORE THE PIRITE EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

REPORE THE PORFIC EMPLOAMENT KETATIONS COMMISSION	
In the Matter of the Petition of Washington State Council of County and City Employees (Council 2)	TYPE OF PROCEDURE: (Check One) - Representation Election - Cross-check of Records CONDUCTED PURSUANT TO: (Check One)
Involving Certain Employees of) Grant County, Washington	Consent AgreementPERC Direction
)	Case Number_1446-F-78-287
	Decision Number 435 PECB
CERTIFICAT	ION
Appearances:	
For Petitioner: Mr. F.D. O'Donnell, Cha	airman, Board of County Commissioners
For Employer: Mr. Paul Sears, Staff	Representative, WSCCCE
•	
FINDINGS OF F	ACT
1. The above-named Petitioner timely fil investigation of a question concerning repnamed employer; said petition was accompanadministratively determined by the Commiss declined voluntarily to extend recognition bargaining representative of its employees	ried by a showing of interest which was sion to be sufficient; and the employer n to the Petitioner as the exclusive
 The organization(s), if any, listed a intervention in the captioned proceedings; was in each case supported by a showing of determined by the Commission to be sufficient 	and said motion(s) for intervention intervention in the said motion was administratively
3. These representation proceedings were bargaining unit described as:	e conducted by the Commission in the
INCLUDED: All employees of the Grant Co Clerk's office and Building D	
EXCLUDED: Elected Officials, Chief Depu	ties and Building Department Head.
4. All proceedings were conducted under a manner designed to afford the affected ion of their bargaining representative, i viously furnished to the parties and is a jections have been filed with respect to	f any; a tally of the results was pre- ttached hereto; and no meritorious ob-
CONCLUSIONS O	
	FLAW
purposes of collective bargaining within and all conditions precedent to a certific	ber 3 is an appropriate unit for the the meaning of RCW 41.56.060 ;
purposes of collective bargaining within	ber 3 is an appropriate unit for the the meaning of RCW 41.56.060 ; cation have been met.
purposes of collective bargaining within and all conditions precedent to a certific NOW, THEREFORE, it is	ber 3 is an appropriate unit for the the meaning of RCW 41.56.060; cation have been met. e named employer employed in the appro-
purposes of collective bargaining within and all conditions precedent to a certific NOW, THEREFORE, it is CERTIFIED The majority of the employees of the above priate collective bargaining unit describe chosen: No Organization as their representative for the purposes amployer with respect to wages, hours and	ber 3 is an appropriate unit for the the meaning of RCW 41.56.060; cation have been met. e named employer employed in the approed in finding of fact number 3 have of collective bargaining with their conditions of employment
purposes of collective bargaining within and all conditions precedent to a certific NOW, THEREFORE, it is CERTIFIED The majority of the employees of the above priate collective bargaining unit describe chosen: No Organization as their representative for the purposes amployer with respect to wages, hours and	ber 3 is an appropriate unit for the the meaning of RCW 41.56.060; cation have been met. e named employer employed in the approed in finding of fact number 3 have of collective bargaining with their conditions of employment
purposes of collective bargaining within and all conditions precedent to a certific NOW, THEREFORE, it is CERTIFIED The majority of the employees of the above priate collective bargaining unit describe chosen: No Organization as their representative for the purposes	ber 3 is an appropriate unit for the the meaning of RCW 41.56.060; cation have been met. e named employer employed in the approed in finding of fact number 3 have of collective bargaining with their conditions of employment

STATE OF WASHINGTON

BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

TALLY SHEET

NAME OF EMPLOYER SPANT COUNTY	CASE NUMBER <u>1446-E-78-28</u> 7
PART 1 - CROSS-CHECK OF RECORDS	
The undersigned agent of the Public Employ he/she has conducted a cross-check of recosults were as follows:	
Number of Employees in Bargaining Unit	
Number of Employee Records Examined	
Number of Employee Records Counted as Vali	d Evidence of Representation
PART 2 - SECRET BALLOT ELECTION	
The undersigned agent of the Public Employ the results of the tabulation of ballots case, and concluded on the date indicated	east in the election held in the above below, were as follows:
1. Approximate number of eligible voters.	<u>18</u>
2. Void Ballots	COUNCIL OF COUNTY AND CITY AND LOCAL 846GC 3
	···
	• • •
	<u>7</u>
7. Valid Ballots Counted.(total of 3, 4,	5, and 6)
8. Challe nged Ballots	<u>1</u>
9. Valid Ballots Counted plus Challenged	Ballots (total of 7 and 8)
10. Number of Valid Ballots Needed to Dete	ermine Election6
Challenges are not sufficient in number	to affect the results of the election.
The results of the election appear to be	conclusive favoring choice on line 6
	PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION
DATE ISSUED MAY 8, 1978	By Gaorga Willar
The undersigned acted as authorized observe ballots indicated above. We hereby certiffairly and accurately done, that the secret	vers in the counting and tabulating of by that the counting and tabulating were becy of the ballots was maintained, and We also acknowledge service of this tally
For	For