In the Matter of the Petition of
TEAMSTERS UNION LOCAL NO. 589
Involving Certain Employees of
CITY OF PORT ORCHARD

TYPE OF PROCEDURE: (Check One)
X - Representation Election
$\square$ - Cross-check of Records CONDUCTED PURSUANT TO: (Check One)
$\square$ - Consent Agreement
X - PERC Direction
Case Number 3278-E-81-633
Decision Number 1144-A PECB

## CERTIFICATION

## Appearances:

For Petitioner: DOUG BUSH, BUSINESS AGENT
For Employer:
R. G. LLOYD, REPRESENTATIVE

For Intervenor: HARRY LAUBE, REPRESENTATIVE

## FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The above-named Petitioner timely filed with the Commission a petition for investigation of a question concerning representation of employees of the abovenamed employer; said petition was accompanied by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient; and the employer declined voluntarily to extend recognition to the Petitioner as the exclusive bargaining representative of its employees.
2. The organization(s), if any, listed as intervenors above timely moved for intervention in the captioned proceedings; and said motion(s) for intervention was in each case supported by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient.
3. These representation proceedings were conducted by the Commission in the bargaining unit described as:

INCLUDED: ALL EMPLOYEES OF CITY OF PORT ORCHARD
EXCLUDED: FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT, ALL PERSONNEL
REPRESENTED BY ANOTHER BARGAINING UNIT, GUARDS, PROFESSIONALS AND SUPERVISORS AS DESCRIBED IN THE ACT.
4. All proceedings were conducted under the supervision of the Commission in a manner designed to afford the affected employees a free choice in the selection of their bargaining representative, if any; a tally of the results was previously furnished to the parties and is attached hereto; and no meritorious objections have been filed with respect to these proceedings.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The unit described in finding of fact number 3 is an appropriate unit for the purposes of collective bargaining within the meaning of RCW 41.56.070 and all conditions precedent to a certification have been met.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

## CERTIFIED

The majority of the employees of the above named employer employed in the appropriate collective bargaining unit described in finding of fact number 3 have chosen:

## TEAMSTERS UNION LOCAL NO. 589

as their representative for the purposes of collective bargaining with their employer with respect to wages, hours and conditions of employment.
Issued at OLYMPIA , Washington, this 26th day of MAY_, 1981


MARVIN L. SCHURKE, Executive Director

# TALLY SHEET 

| NAME OF |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EMPLOYER CITY OF PORT ORCHARD | CASE |

## PART 1 - CROSS-CHECK OF RECORDS

The undersigned agent of the Public Employment Relations Commission certifies that he/she has conducted a cross-check of records in the above case, and that the resuits were as follows:
Number of Employees in Bargaining Unit. $\qquad$
Number of Employee Records Examined $\qquad$
Number of Employee Records Counted as Valid Evidence of Representation.. $\qquad$

## PART 2 - SECRET BALLOT ELECTION

[^0]1. Approximate number of eligible voters............................................... 16
2. Void Ballots
3. Votes Cast For: TEAMSTERS, LOCAL 589, IBT $\cdots \quad 10$
4. Votes Cast For: WSCCCE, LOCAL 2052, AFSCME _... - - -
5. Votes Cast For: $\qquad$ ... $\qquad$
6. Votes Cast For: NO REPRESENTATION. $\square$
7. Valid Ballots Counted.(total of 3, 4, 5, and 6)............................. 4
8. Challenged Ballots
9. Valid Ballots Counted plus Challenged Ballots (total of 7 and 8)..... $\square$
10. Number of Valid Ballots Needed to Determine Election

Challenges $\frac{\square}{\square}$ are not sufficient in number to affect the results of the election. The results of the election appear to be inconclusive.
conclusive favoring choice on line $\qquad$
PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION
date issued May 15, 1981
counting and tabulating of
The undersigned acted as authorized observers if the counting and tabulating of
ballots indicated above. We hereby certify that the counting and tabulating were fairly and accurately done, that the secrecy of the ballots was maintained, and that the lresults were as indicated above. We also acknowledge service of this tally.


For $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


[^0]:    The undersigned agent of the Public Employment Relations Commission certifies that the results of the tabulation of ballots cast in the election held in the above case, and concluded on the date indicated below, were as follows:

