STATE OF WASHINGTON

BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

In the matter of the petition of:

BURLINGTON POLICE EMPLOYEES GUILD

CASE 8827-E-90-1478

DECISION 3681 - PECB

Involving certain employees of:

CITY OF BURLINGTON

Representation Election
Pursuant to Agreement
of Parties

Appearances:

For Petitioner: Les Clark, President.

For Employer: Edward M. Goodman, Chief of Police. For Intervenor: Steven J. Chandler, Business Agent,

Teamsters Local No. 788.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The above-named petitioner timely filed with the Public Employment Relations Commission a petition for investigation of a question concerning representation of employees of the above-named employer; said petition was accompanied by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient; and the employer declined voluntarily to extend recognition to the petitioner as the exclusive bargaining representative of its employees.
- 2. The organization(s) listed above as intervenors timely moved for intervention in the captioned proceedings; and said motion for intervention was in each case supported by a showing of interest which as administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient.
- 3. These representation proceedings were conducted by the Commission in the bargaining unit described as:

EMPLOYEES OF THE BURLINGTON POLICE DEPARTMENT: PATROLMEN, DISPATCHERS AND SUPPORT PERSONNEL EXCLUDING; THE CHIEF OF POLICE, LIEUTENANT AND THE ADMINISTRATIVE SECRETARY.

4. All proceedings were conducted under the supervision of the Commission in a manner designed to afford the affected employees a free choice in the election of their bargaining representative, if any; a tally of the results was previously furnished to the parties

and is attached hereto; and no meritorious objections have been filed with respect to these proceedings.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

The unit described in finding of fact number 3 is an appropriate unit for the purposes of collective bargaining within the meaning of RCW 41.56.060; and all conditions precedent to a certification have been met.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

CERTIFIED

The employees of the above-named employer in the appropriate collective bargaining unit described in finding of fact number 3 have chosen:

BURLINGTON POLICE EMPLOYEES GUILD

as their representative for the purposes of collective bargaining with their employer with respect to wages, hours and conditions of employment.

ISSUED at Olympia, Washington, this 11th day of January, 1991.

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

MARVIN L. SCHURKE, Executive Director

L-9 1/78-

STATE OF WASHINGTON

BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

TALLY SHEET

NAME OF EMPLOYER	CITY OF BURLINGTON	CASE NUMBER_8	3827-E-90-1478
PART 1 -	CROSS-CHECK OF RECORDS		
he/she has	signed agent of the Public Employs conducted a cross-check of reco	ment Relations Commission rds in the above case, an	certifies that d that the re-
Number of	Employees in Bargaining Unit	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • •
Number of	Employee Records Examined	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Number of	Employee Records Counted as Vali	d Evidence of Representat	ion
PART 2 -	SECRET BALLOT ELECTION		
the result case, and	signed agent of the Public Employ ts of the tabulation of ballots c concluded on the date indicated	ast in the election held below, were as follows:	in the above
	kimate number of eligible voters.		
2. Void B	Ballots	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
3. Votes	Cast For: BURLINGTON POLICE EMPL	OYEES GUILD	
4. Votes	Cast For: TEAMSTERS UNION, LOCAL	788	
5. Votes	Cast For:		
6. Votes	Cast For: NO REPRESENTATION		
7. Valid	Ballots Counted.(total of 3, 4,	5, and 6)	
8. Challe	e nged Ballots		
9. Valid	Ballots Counted plus Challenged	Ballots (total of 7 and 8	3) <i>[6</i>
	r of Valid Ballots Needed to Dete		
Challenges The result	are sufficient in number are not sufficient in number ts of the election appear to be	to affect the results of inconclusive.	the election.
	7	support of the contract of the	orce on time <u>s</u>
	ED /2/91		
ballots in fairly and	signed acted as authorized observ ndicated above. We hereby certif d accurately done, that the secre results were as indicated above.	y that the counting and t cy of the ballots was mai	abulating were
For		For	
			and the state of t
For		For	