#### STATE OF WASHINGTON

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

In the matter of the Petition of: GENERAL TEAMSTERS, LOCAL 524	) ) CASE NO. 6002-E-85-1072 ) CERTIFICATION
Involving certain employees of:	) ) DECISION NO. 2351- PECB )
CITY OF GRANDVIEW	) Representation Election Pursuant ) to Agreement of Parties )

#### Appearances:

For Petitioner:

Mark Rogstad, Business Representative

For Employer:

C. J. Sewell, City Supervisor

For Intervenor:

John Garcia, President, Grandview Police Assoc.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The above-named petitioner timely filed with the Public Employment Rrelations Commission a petition for investigation of a question concerning representation of employees of the above-named employer; said petition was accompanied by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient; and the employer declined voluntarily to extend recognition to the petitioner as the exclusive bargaining representative of its employees.
- 2. The organization(s) listed above as intervenors tiemly moved for intervention in the captioned proceedings; and said motion for intervention was in each case supported by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient.
- 3. These representation proceedings were conducted by the Commission in the bargaining unit described as:

All regular full-time and regular part-time employees of the police department, including clerks, dispatchers, matrons and animal control officer. Excluding the chief of police.

4. All proceedings were conducted under the supervision of the Commission in a manner designed to afford the affected employees a free choice in the selection of their bargaining representative, if any; a tally of the results was previously furnished to the parties and is attached hereto; and no memritorious objections have been filed with respect to these proceedings.

## CONCLUSION OF LAW

The unit described in finding of fact number 3 is an appropriate unit for the purposes of collective bargaining within the meaning of RCW 41.56.060; and all conditions precedent to a certification have been met.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

#### CERTIFIED

The employees of the above named employer employed in the appropriate collective bargaining unit described in finding of fact number 3 have chosen:

## GENERAL TEAMSTERS, LOCAL 524

as their representative for the purposes of collective bargaining with their employer with respect to wages, hours and conditions of employment.

ISSUED at Olympia, Washington, this 10th day of December, 1985.

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

MARVIN L. SCHURKE, Executive Director

# STATE OF WASHINGTON

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

# TALLY SHEET

EMPLOYER <u>City of Grandview</u>	CASE NUMBER6002-E	-85-1072
PART 1 - CROSS-CHECK OF RECORDS		
The undersigned agent of the Public Employment he/she has conducted a cross check of record sults were as follows:	ds in the above case, and that	the re-
Number of Employees in Bargaining Unit		Martin de Martin de Martin e construit de santa santa participa per participa de santa de santa de santa de sa
Number of Employees in Bargaining Unit  Number of Employee Records Examined		*******************************
Number of Employee Records Counted as Valid	Evidence of Representation	
PART 2 - SECRET BALLOT ELECTION		
The undersigned agent of the Public Employme the results of the tabulation of ballots case, and concluded on the date indicated be	it in the election held in the	fies that above
1. Approximate number of eligible voters	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2. Void Ballots		0
3. Votes Cast For: GENERAL TEAMSTERS LOCAL	NO. 524	9
4. Votes Cast For: GRANDVIEW POLICEMEN'S A	ASSOCIATION	4
5. Votes Cast For:		
6. Votes Cast For:		
7. Votes Cast For: NO REPRESENTATION		
8. Valid Ballots Counted.(total of 3, 4, 5	, and 6)	
9. Challe <b>nged</b> Ballots		
10. Valid Ballots Counted plus Challenged Ba	allots (total of 7 and 8)	13
11. Number of Valid Ballots Needed to Determ	nine Election	7
Challenges $\square$ are are not sufficient in number. The results of the election appear to be $\square$	to affect the results of the e inconclusive. conclusive favoring choice or	election.
DATE ISSUED Dec. 2,1985  The undersigned acted as authorized observer ballots indicated above. We hereby certify fairly and accurately done, that the secrecy that the results were as indicated above. For bear I transfers local than	that the counting and tabulat of the ballots was maintaine	ing of ing were ed, and this tall
Mok I fighted	Maht Whe	
For City of GRANDVIEN	For	