E-10 4/77

STATE OF WASHINGTON

BEFORE THE , UBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of

HOTEL, MOTEL, RESTAURANT EMPLOYEES & BARTENDERS UNION, LOCAL 8

Involving Certain Employees of

CITY OF SEATTLE (DEPARTMENT OF LIGHTING)

TYPE OF PROCEDURE: (Check One)

[X] - Representation Election

- Cross-check of Records

CONDUCTED PURSUANT TO: (Check One)

- X Consent Agreement
- PERC Direction

Case Number <u>3774-E-81-717</u>

Decision Number 1312 - PECB

CERTIFICATION

Appearances:

For	Petitioner:	JERRY MENDENHALL
For	Employer:	WILLIAM HAUSKINS

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The above-named Petitioner timely filed with the Commission a petition for investigation of a question concerning representation of employees of the abovenamed employer; said petition was accompanied by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient; and the employer declined voluntarily to extend recognition to the Petitioner as the exclusive bargaining representative of its employees.

2. The organization(s), if any, listed as intervenors above timely moved for intervention in the captioned proceedings; and said motion(s) for intervention was in each case supported by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient.

3. These representation proceedings were conducted by the Commission in the bargaining unit described as:

INCLUDED: Camp Service Aide Camp Service Aide, Senior

EXCLUDED: All others

4. All proceedings were conducted under the supervision of the Commission in a manner designed to afford the affected employees a free choice in the selection of their bargaining representative, if any; a tally of the results was previously furnished to the parties and is attached hereto; and no meritorious objections have been filed with respect to these proceedings.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The unit described in finding of fact number 3 is an appropriate unit for the purposes of collective bargaining within the meaning of RCW 41.56; and all conditions precedent to a certification have been met.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

CERTIFIED

The majority of the employees of the above named employer employed in the appropriate collective bargaining unit described in finding of fact number 3 have chosen:

HOTEL, MOTEL, RESTAURANT EMPLOYEES AND BARTENDERS UNION, LOCAL 8

as their representative for the purposes of collective bargaining with their employer with respect to wages, hours and conditions of employment.

Issued at <u>OLYMPIA</u>, Washington, this <u>3rd</u> day of <u>DECEMBER</u>, 19<u>81</u>.

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

MUD By: w MARVIN I. SCHURKE. Executive Director

S	T	ΑT	Έ	OF	WASH	INGTON
---	---	----	---	----	------	--------

2

E-9 1/78

BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

TALLY SHEET

NAME OF EMPLOYERCITY OF SEATTLE (Department of	Lighting) CASE NUMBER 3774-E-81-71	7						
PART 1 - CROSS-CHECK OF RECORDS								
The undersigned agent of the Public Employment Relations Commission certifies that he/she has conducted a cross-check of records in the above case, and that the re- sults were as follows: Number of Employees in Bargaining Unit Number of Employee Records Examined								
Number of Employee Records Examined	Charlow and Constant							
Number of Employee Records Counted as Valid	Number of Employee Records Counted as Valid Evidence of Representation							
PART 2 - SECRET BALLOT ELECTION	9 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19							
The undersigned agent of the Public Employment Relations Commission certifies that the results of the tabulation of ballots cast in the election held in the above case, and concluded on the date indicated below, were as follows:								
1. Approximate number of eligible voters.								
 Void Ballots	NT	<u> </u>						
4. Votes Cast For:								
5. Votes Cast For:								
6. Votes Cast For: <u>NO REPRESENTATION</u>		6						
7. Valid Ballots Counted. (total of 3, 4, 5	, and 6)	4						
8. Challenged Ballots		<u> </u>						
9. Valid Ballots Counted plus Challenged H	allots (total of 7 and 8)	5						
10. Number of Valid Ballots Needed to Deter	mine Election	8						
Challenges are not sufficient in number	to affect the results of the elect	ion.						
Challenges are are not sufficient in number The results of the election appear to be	inconclusive. conclusive favoring choice on line	3						
***************************************	PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMIS							
DATE ISSUED November 20, 1981	By Katina Boadecker	/						
The undersigned acted as authorized observed ballots indicated above. We hereby certify fairly and accurately done, that the secret that the results were as indicated above. For <u>hereby</u>	that the counting and tabulating wy of the ballots was maintained, ar	were nd						
	·							
1	Let En 10# 8%							
For	For Joseph V Mansen	m						
	Son phille	1						