STATE OF WASHINGTON

BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of	TYPE OF PROCEDURE: (Check One)
SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL 92	$\frac{X}{X}$ - Representation Election $\frac{X}{X}$ - Cross-Check of Records
Involving Certain Employees of ONALASKA SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 300	CONDUCTED PURSUANT TO: (Check One) $ \frac{X}{-} - \text{Consent Agreement} $ $ \frac{A}{-} - \text{PERC Direction} $
	Case Number 4550-E-83-838
	Decision Number <u>1655 - PECB</u>

CERTIFICATION

Appearances:

For Petitioner: Les Hayes

For Employer: Raymond R. Amstadt

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The above-named Petitioner timely filed with the Commission a petition for investigation of a question concerning representation of employees of the above-named employer; said petition was accompanied by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient; and the employer declined voluntarily to extend recognition to the Petitioner as the exclusive bargaining representative of its employees.
- 2. The organization(s), if any, listed as intervenors above timely moved for intervention in the captioned proceedings; and said motion(s) for intervention was in each case supported by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient.
- 3. These representation proceedings were conducted by the Commission in the bargaining unit described as:

All full-time and regular part-time classified employees including: bus drivers, custodial and maintenance employees; excluding: food service, aides, secretarial, confidential and transportation and maintenance supervisors.

4. All proceedings were conducted under the supervision of the Commission in a manner designed to afford the affected employees a free choice in the selection of their bargaining representative, if any; a tally of the results was previously furnished to the parties and is attached hereto; and no meritorious objections have been filed with respect to these proceedings.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The unit described in finding of fact number 3 is an appropriate unit for the purposes of collective bargaining within the meaning of RCW = 41.56.060; and all conditions precedent to a certification have been met.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

CERTIFIED

The majority of the employees of the above named employer employed in the appropriate collective bargaining unit described in finding of fact number 3 have chosen:

SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL 92

as their representative for the purposes of collective bargaining with their employer with respect to wages, hours and conditions of employment.

Issued at Olympia, Washington, this <u>16th</u> day of June, 1983.

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

MARVIN L. SCHURKE, Executive Director

STATE OF WASHINGTON

BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

TALLY SHEET

NAME OF EMPLOYER Onalaska School District No.	300 CASE 4550-E-83-838 NUMBER
PART 1 - CROSS-CHECK OF RECORDS	
The undersigned agent of the Public Emplo he/she has conducted a cross-check of ree sults were as follows:	yment Relations Commission certifies that ords in the above case, and that the re-
Number of Employees in Bargaining Unit	
Number of Employee Records Examined	
Number of Employee Records Counted as Val	id Evidence of Representation
PART 2 - SECRET BALLOT ELECTION	
The undersigned agent of the Public Emplo the results of the tabulation of ballots case, and concluded on the date indicated	cast in the election held in the above below, were as follows:
.1. Approximate number of eligible voters	14
	<u>O</u>
3. Votes Cast For: Service Employees Int	ernational Union, Local #92
4. Votes Cast For:	
5. Votes Cast For:	• • •
6. Votes Cast For: NO REPRESENTATION	4
7. Valid Ballots Counted.(total of 3, 4,	5, and 6)
8. Challenged Ballots	<u>O</u>
9. Valid Ballots Counted plus Challenged Ballots (total of 7 and 8)//	
10. Number of Valid Ballots Needed to Det	ermine Election6
Challenges are are not sufficient in number	r to affect the results of the election. inconclusive. conclusive favoring choice on line
The results of the election appear to be	conclusive favoring choice on line 3
	PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION
DATE ISSUED May 3/, 1983	By Hateina Boedecker
The undersigned acted as authorized obser ballots indicated above. We hereby certifairly and accurately done, that the secretation that the results were as indicated above.	vers in the counting and tabulating of fy that the counting and tabulating were
For	For