STATE OF WASHINGTON

BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of

ROBIN K. JENKINS AND STEVEN R. PILCHER

Involving Certain Employees of

PIERCE COUNTY

TYPE OF PROCEDURE: (Check One) /X - Representation Election /__/ - Cross-Check of Records CONDUCTED PURSUANT TO: (Check One) /X - Consent Agreement /__/ - PERC Direction Case Number <u>4267-E-82-789</u> Decision Number <u>1536 - PECB</u>

CERTIFICATION

Appearances:

For	Petitioner:	ROBIN K. JENKINS	
For	Employer:	TERRY D. SEBRING	
For	Intervenor:	MARY L. BROWN, WSCCCE LOCAL 12	20

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The above-named Petitioner timely filed with the Commission a petition for investigation of a question concerning representation of employees of the abovenamed employer; said petition was accompanied by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient; and the employer declined voluntarily to extend recognition to the Petitioner as the exclusive bargaining representative of its employees.

2. The organization(s), if any, listed as intervenors above timely moved for intervention in the captioned proceedings; and said motion(s) for intervention was in each case supported by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient.

3. These representation proceedings were conducted by the Commission in the bargaining unit described as:

ALL PLANNING DEPARTMENT PERSONNEL IN THE FOLLOWING JOB CLASSES: PLANNERS I, II, AND II; CARTOGRAPHER; OFFICE ASSISTANT I AND II. EXCLUSIONS: PERSONNEL CLASSIFIED AS SECRETARY, PLANNER IV OR PLANNING DIRECTOR.

4. All proceedings were conducted under the supervision of the Commission in a manner designed to afford the affected employees a free choice in the selection of their bargaining representative, if any; a tally of the results was previously furnished to the parties and is attached hereto; and no meritorious objections have been filed with respect to these proceedings.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The unit described in finding of fact number 3 is an appropriate unit for the purposes of collective bargaining within the meaning of RCW <u>41.56.060</u>; and all conditions precedent to a certification have been met.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

CERTIFIED

The majority of the employees of the above named employer employed in the appropriate collective bargaining unit described in finding of fact number 3 have chosen:

NO REPRESENTATION

as their representative for the purposes of collective bargaining with their employer with respect to wages, hours and conditions of employment.

Issued at Olympia, Washington, this 15th day of November, 1982.

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

MARVIN L. SCHURKE. Executive Director

STATE OF WASHINGTON

2

1/78

BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

TALLY SHEET

NAME OF EMPLOYERPIERCE COUNTY	CASE NUMBER_4267-E-82-789			
PART 1 - CROSS-CHECK OF RECORDS				
The undersigned agent of the Public Employment Relati he/she has conducted a cross-check of records in the sults were as follows:	ons Commission certifies that above case, and that the re-			
Number of Employees in Bargaining Unit	••••••			
Number of Employee Records Examined	•••••			
Number of Employee Records Counted as Valid Evidence	of Representation			
PART 2 - SECRET BALLOT ELECTION	nyenne na sen an			
The undersigned agent of the Public Employment Relati the results of the tabulation of ballots cast in the case, and concluded on the date indicated below, were	election held in the above as follows:			
1. Approximate number of eligible voters				
2. Void Ballots LOCAL 120, WASHINGTON STATE COUNCIL OF 3. Votes Cast For: COUNTY AND CITY EMPLOYEES, AFSCME				
4. Votes Cast For:				
5. Votes Cast For:	· · ·			
6. Votes Cast For: <u>NO REPRESENTATION</u>				
7. Valid Ballots Counted.(total of 3, 4, 5, and 6)				
8. Challe nged Ballots				
9. Valid Ballots Counted plus Challenged Ballots (total of 7 and 8)				
10. Number of Valid Ballots Needed to Determine Election				
Challenges are sufficient in number to affect	the results of the election.			
The results of the election appear to be conclusiv	ve favoring choice on line <u>6</u>			
PUBLIC EN	PLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION			
DATE ISSUED November 5, 1982. By Ken	weth J. Fatech			
The undersigned acted as authorized observers in the ballots indicated above. We hereby certify that the fairly and accurately done, that the secrecy of the b that the results were as indicated above. We also approximately for the secret of	counting and tabulating of counting and tabulating were allots was maintained, and			
For Robin Juppin For	en thá háit			