STATE OF WASHINGTON

BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

In the matter of the petition of:

WASHINGTON FEDERATION OF STATE

EMPLOYEES

CASE 20706-E-06-3191

Involving certain employees of:

COMMUNITY COLLEGE

DISTRICT 22 - TACOMA

Agreement of Parties

Gladys Burbank, Director of Activities, for the petitioner.

Dorene Novotny, Associate Vice-President Human Resources, for the employer.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The above-named petitioner filed with the Public Employment Relations Commission a petition for investigation of a question concerning representation of employees of the above-named employer. The petition was timely filed and by accompanied showing of interest which a administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient. No other organization is know to claim to represent the employees involved.
- 2. These representation proceedings were conducted by the Commission in the bargaining unit described as:

ALL SUPERVISORY EMPLOYEES OF COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT 22 (TACOMA COMMUNITY COLLEGE) COVERED UNDER RCW 41.80, EXCLUDING CONFIDENTIAL EMPLOYEES.

3. All proceedings were conducted under the supervision of the Commission in a manner designed to afford the affected employees a free choice in the selection of their bargaining representative, a confidential cross-check of employer and union documents has been conducted by the Commission staff and a tally of the results previously furnished to the parties is attached hereto; and no meritorious objections have been filed with respect to these proceedings.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

- 1. The unit described in paragraph 2 of the foregoing Findings of Fact is an appropriate unit for the purposes of collective bargaining within the meaning of 41.80 RCW.
- 2. All conditions precedent to a certification have been met.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

CERTIFIED

The employees of the above-named employer in the appropriate bargaining unit described in paragraph 2 of the foregoing Findings of Fact have chosen:

WASHINGTON FEDERATION OF STATE EMPLOYEES

as their representative for the purpose of collective bargaining with their employer.

Issued at Olympia, Washington, this 8th day of December, 2006.

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

CATHLEEN CALLAHAN, Executive Director

E-10 (9/2006)

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

Street: 112 Henry Street NE, Suite 300, Olympia, WA 98506 Mail: PO Box 40919, Olympia, WA 98504-0919 Phone: (360) 570-7300 Fax: (360) 570-7334 E-mail: filing@perc.wa.gov

TALLY OF CROSS-CHECK

Instructions: Other side of this form (Page 2)

Applicable Rules: WAC 391-25-410

CASE NUMBER 2010 CO. 3191 EMPLOYER COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT 22
The Public Employment Relations Commission has conducted a confidential cross-check under WAC 391-25-410, which provides:
(1) Where a cross-check of records is to be conducted to determine a question concerning representation, the organization shall furnish to the agency original or legible copies of individual cards or letters signed and dated by employees in the bargaining unit within ninety days prior to the filling of the petition and indicating that the employees authorize the named organization to represent them for the purposes of collective bargaining, or shall furnish to the agency membership records maintained by the organization as a part of its business records containing the names of employees and indicating those employees currently members in good standing. (2) The agency shall honor a valid revocation of authorization contained in an individual card or letter signed by the employee and furnished to the agency by the employeer shall make available to the agency original or legible copies of employment records maintained as a part of its business records containing the names and signatures of the employees in the bargaining unit. (4) Prior to the commencement of the cross-check, the organization may file and serve, as required by WAC 391-08-120, a request that the question concerning representation be determined by a representation election. Any such requests shall be honored. (5) Where the organization files a disclaimer or a request for election after the commencement of the cross-check, the cross-check shall be terminated and the organization shall not seek to be certified in the bargaining unit for a period of at least one year thereafter. (6) All cross-checks shall be by actual comparison of records furnished by the parties. The agency shall not disclose the names of employees giving representation authorization in favor of or appearing on the membership rolls of the organization. Upon the conclusion of the comparison of records, the agency officer conducting the cross-check shall prepare and furnish to the parties a taily sheet containing the number of employees in the bargaining unit, the number of employe
The results of that cross-check are certified to be as follows:
NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES AGREED TO BE IN BARGAINING UNIT
NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES WHOSE INCLUSION IN UNIT IS CHALLENGED
TOTAL EMPLOYEES TO BE CONSIDERED (Total of Lines 1 and 2)
NUMBER OF VALID AUTHORIZATIONS NEEDED TO ESTABLISH MAJORITY STATUS
TOTAL AUTHORIZATION CARDS OR MEMBERSHIP RECORDS EXAMINED
NUMBER OF AUTHORIZATIONS REJECTED AS INVALID
NUMBER OF AUTHORIZATIONS ACCEPTED AS VALID EVIDENCE OF REPRESENTATION BY (organization)
THE RESULT OF THE CROSS-CHECK APPEARS TO BE:
[] Eligibility challenges are sufficient in number to affect the results.
The organization named on line 7 is entitled to certification as exclusive bargaining representative. [] A certification of "no representative" is appropriate.
PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION
ATE ISSUED NOV 30, 2006 BY Sally DUEISM
CKNOWLEDGMENT OF OBSERVERS The undersigned acknowledge service of a copy of this tally of cross-check. Title
A tile Lilipioyei