

STATE OF WASHINGTON

BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

In the matter of the petition of:)
)
ENUMCLAW POLICE OFFICER'S) CASE 9453-E-91-1571
ASSOCIATION)
) DECISION 4018 - PECB
)
Involving certain employees of:) CERTIFICATION
)
CITY OF ENUMCLAW) Representation Election
) Pursuant to Agreement
) of Parties
)
_____)

Appearances:

For Petitioner: James M. Cline, Attorney at Law
For Employer: Michael J. Meglemre, Labor Relations
Consultant
For Intervenor: Bruce E. Heller, Attorney at Law

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The above-named petitioner timely filed with the Public Employment Relations Commission a petition for investigation of a question concerning representation of employees of the above-named employer; said petition was accompanied by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient; and the employer declined voluntarily to extend recognition to the petitioner as the exclusive bargaining representative of its employees.
2. The organization(s) listed above as intervenors timely moved for intervention in the captioned proceedings; and said motion for intervention was in each case supported by a showing of interest which as administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient.
3. These representation proceedings were conducted by the Commission in the bargaining unit described as:

ALL EMPLOYEES OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, BOTH UNIFORMED
AND NON-UNIFORMED, BELOW THE RANK OF LIEUTENANT;
EXCLUDING ALL OTHER EMPLOYEES OF THE EMPLOYER.
4. All proceedings were conducted under the supervision of the Commission in a manner designed to afford the affected employees a free choice in the election of their bargaining representative, if any; a tally of the results was previously furnished to the parties

and is attached hereto; and no meritorious objections have been filed with respect to these proceedings.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

The unit described in finding of fact number 3 is an appropriate unit for the purposes of collective bargaining within the meaning of RCW 41.56.060; and all conditions precedent to a certification have been met.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

CERTIFIED

The employees of the above-named employer in the appropriate collective bargaining unit described in finding of fact number 3 have chosen:

ENUMCLAW POLICE OFFICER'S ASSOCIATION

as their representative for the purposes of collective bargaining with their employer with respect to wages, hours and conditions of employment.

ISSUED at Olympia, Washington, this 23rd day of March, 1992.

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION




MARVIN L. SCHURKE, Executive Director



PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

603 EVERGREEN PLAZA FJ-61 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98504
(206) 753-3444



TALLY OF CROSS-CHECK

for CASE # 9453 - E - 91 - 1571

Instructions: See other side of this form. Applicable Rules: See WAC 391-25-250 and -391.

EMPLOYER: City of Enumclaw UNION: Enumclaw Police Officers Association

The undersigned agent of the Public Employment Relations Commission certifies that he/she has, on the date specified below, conducted a cross-check in the case indicated above, pursuant to:

WAC 391-25-410 **CROSS-CHECK OF RECORDS.** Where a cross-check of records is to be conducted to determine a question concerning representation, the organization shall submit to the agency original individual cards or letters signed and dated by employees in the bargaining unit not more than ninety days prior to the filing of the petition and indicating that such employees authorize the named organization to represent them for the purposes of collective bargaining, or shall submit to the agency membership records maintained by the organization as a part of its business records containing the names of employees and indicating those employees currently members in good standing. The employer shall make available to the agency original employment records maintained as a part of its business records containing the names and signatures of the employees in the bargaining unit. Prior to the commencement of the cross-check, the organization may file a request that the question concerning representation be determined by a representation election and such requests shall be honored. Where the organization files a disclaimer or a request for election after the commencement of the cross-check, the cross-check shall be terminated and the organization shall not seek to be certified in the bargaining unit for a period of at least one year thereafter. All cross-checks shall be by actual comparison of records submitted by the parties. The agency shall not disclose the names of employees giving representation authorization in favor of or appearing on the membership rolls of the organization. Upon the conclusion of the comparison of records, the agency officer conducting the cross-check shall prepare and furnish to the parties a tally sheet containing the number of employees in the bargaining unit, the number of employee records examined and the number of employee records counted as valid evidence of representation.

and that the results of that cross-check are as follows:

- 1. NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES IN BARGAINING UNIT 23
- 2. NUMBER OF "CHALLENGED" EMPLOYEES 0
- 3. TOTAL EMPLOYEES TO BE CONSIDERED (Total of Line 1 and Line 2) 23
- 4. NUMBER OF VALID AUTHORIZATIONS NEEDED TO DETERMINE REPRESENTATION 12
- 5. TOTAL AUTHORIZATION CARDS OR MEMBERSHIP RECORDS EXAMINED 15
- 6. NUMBER OF CARDS/RECORDS REJECTED AS EVIDENCE OF REPRESENTATION 0
- 7. NUMBER OF CARDS/RECORDS ACCEPTED AS VALID EVIDENCE OF REPRESENTATION 15
- 8. THE RESULTS OF THE CROSS-CHECK APPEAR TO BE (check one):
 - ELIGIBILITY CHALLENGES ARE SUFFICIENT IN NUMBER TO AFFECT THE RESULTS.
 - THE UNION IS ENTITLED TO CERTIFICATION AS EXCLUSIVE BARGAINING REPRESENTATIVE.
 - A CERTIFICATION OF "NO REPRESENTATIVE" IS APPROPRIATE.

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

DATE ISSUED: March 13, 1992

BY: Kenneth J. Lottick

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF OBSERVERS

The undersigned acknowledge service of a copy of this tally of cross-check on the date indicated.

For the Employer: Michael Quinn Title: City Admin Date: 3/13/92 Telephone (206) 825-359

For the employee organization: _____ Title: _____ Date: _____ Telephone () _____