#### STATE OF WASHINGTON

### BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

In the matter of the petition of:

**TEAMSTERS, LOCAL 760** 

Involving certain employees of:

CITY OF YAKIMA

CASE 23805-E-11-3631

**DECISION 11127-A - PECB** 

CERTIFICATION Cross-check by Agreement of Parties

Reid, Pederson, McCarthy & Ballew, by *Thomas A. Leahy*, Attorney at Law, for the petitioner, Teamsters, Local 760.

James T. Mitchell, Assistant City Attorney, for the employer.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The above-named petitioner filed with the Public Employment Relations Commission a petition for investigation of a question concerning representation of employees of the above-named employer. The petition was timely filed and accompanied by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient. No other organization is known to claim to represent the employees involved.
- 2. These representation proceedings were conducted by the Commission in the bargaining unit described as:

All full-time and regular part-time employees of the City of Yakima in Human Resources, Finance, and Public Works in the following classifications: Accountant, Payroll Officer, Treasury Services Officer, Financial Services Officer, Financial Services Technician, Utility and Finance Assistant, Human Resources Assistant, Human Resources Specialist, and Public Works Office Assistant, excluding supervisors, confidential employees, and all other employees.

3. All proceedings were conducted under the supervision of the Commission in a manner designed to afford the affected employees a free choice in the selection of their bargaining representative, a confidential cross-check of employer and union documents has been conducted by the Commission staff and a tally of the results previously furnished to the parties is attached hereto; and no meritorious objections have been filed with respect to

these proceedings.

# **CONCLUSION OF LAW**

The unit described in paragraph 2 of the foregoing Findings of Fact is an appropriate unit for the purposes of collective bargaining within the meaning of RCW 41.56.

All conditions precedent to a certification have been met.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

## **CERTIFIED**

The employees of the above-named employer in the appropriate bargaining unit described in paragraph 2 of the foregoing Findings of Fact have chosen:

# TEAMSTERS, LOCAL 760

as their representative for the purpose of collective bargaining with their employer.

Issued at Olympia, Washington, this 4th day of August, 2011.

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

CATHLEEN CALLAHAN, Executive Director



#### PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

Street: 112 Henry Street NE, Suite 300, Olympia, WA 98506 Mail: PO Box 40919, Olympia, WA 98504-0919

Phone: (360) 570-7300 Fax: (360) 570-7334 E-mail: filing@perc.wa.gov

# TALLY OF CROSS-CHECK

mstructions. Other side of this lottin (Fage 2)	Applicable Rules. WAC 551-25-410	
CASE NUMBER 23805= 11 - 3/03/ EMPLOY	VER Citch of Yakima.	

The Public Employment Relations Commission has conducted a confidential cross-check under WAC 391-25-410, which provides:

(1) Where a cross-check of records is to be conducted to determine a question concerning representation, the organization shall furnish to the agency original or legible copies of individual cards or letters signed and dated by employees in the bargaining unit within ninety days prior to the filing of the petition and indicating that the employees authorize the named organization to represent them for the purposes of collective bargaining, or shall furnish to the agency membership records maintained by the organization as a part of its business records containing the names of employees and indicating those employees currently members in good standing.

(2) The agency shall honor a valid revocation of authorization contained in an individual card or letter signed by the employee and furnished to the agency by the employee.

the agency by the employee.

(3) The employer shall make available to the agency original or legible copies of employment records maintained as a part of its business records containing the names and signatures of the employees in the bargaining unit.

(4) Prior to the commencement of the cross-check, the organization may file and serve, as required by WAC 391-08-120, a request that the question concerning representation be determined by a representation election. Any such requests shall be honored.

(5) Where the organization files a disclaimer or a request for election after the commencement of the cross-check, the cross-check shall be terminated and the organization shall not seek to be certified in the bargaining unit for a period of at least one year thereafter.

(6) All cross-checks shall be by actual comparison of records furnished by the parties. The agency shall not disclose the names of employees giving representation authorization in favor of or appearing on the membership rolls of the organization. Upon the conclusion of the comparison of records, the agency officer conducting the cross-check shall prepare and furnish to the parties a tally sheet containing the number of employees in the bargaining unit, the number of employee records examined and the number of employee records counted as valid evidence of representation.

The results of that cross-check are certified to be as follows:

		A man
1.	NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES AGREED TO BE IN BARGAINING UNIT	15
2.	NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES WHOSE INCLUSION IN UNIT IS CHALLENGED	0
3.	TOTAL EMPLOYEES TO BE CONSIDERED (Total of Lines 1 and 2)	15
4.	NUMBER OF VALID AUTHORIZATIONS NEEDED TO ESTABLISH MAJORITY STATUS	8
5.	TOTAL AUTHORIZATION CARDS OR MEMBERSHIP RECORDS EXAMINED	_/0
3.	NUMBER OF AUTHORIZATIONS REJECTED AS INVALID	0
7.	NUMBER OF AUTHORIZATIONS ACCEPTED AS VALID EVIDENCE OF REPRESENTATION	4.0
	BY (organization) TeamSters Local 760	10
3.	THE RESULT OF THE CROSS-CHECK APPEARS TO BE:	
	[ ] Eligibility challenges are sufficient in number to affect the results.	
	The organization named on line 7 is entitled to certification as exclusive bargaining representative.	
*	[ ] A certification of "no representative" is appropriate.	

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

DATE ISSUED July 27, 2011

BY Smalley DUEISON