King County, Decision 9339 (PECB, 2006)

STATE OF WASHINGTON

BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

MICHAEL ROCHON,)
	Complainant,	CASE 20297-U-06-5167
vs.		DECISION 9339 - PECB
KING COUNTY,	-)) ORDER OF DISMISSAL
	Respondent.))
MICHAEL ROCHON,)
	Complainant,) CASE 20298-U-06-5168
vs.	Š) DECISION 9340 - PECB
AMALGAMATED TRANSIT LOCAL 587,	UNION,)) ORDER OF DISMISSAL
	Respondent.	ORDER OF DISMISSAL
)

On March 24, 2006, Michael Rochon (Rochon) filed a complaint charging unfair labor practices with the Public Employment Relations Commission under Chapter 391-45 WAC, concerning allegations against King County (employer) and Amalgamated Transit Union, Local 587 (union). While the complaint form listed the union as the respondent, boxes were checked on the form indicating alleged statutory violations by the employer and the union. The Commission docketed the complaint as two case numbers. Case 20297-U-06-5167 concerns allegations of the complaint against the employer, while Case 20298-U-06-5168 involves allegations of the complaint against the union.

The complaints were reviewed under WAC 391-45-110, and a deficiency notice issued on May 2, 2006, indicated that it was not

At this stage of the proceedings, all of the facts alleged in the complaints are assumed to be true and provable. The question at hand is whether, as a matter of law, the complaints state a claim for relief available through unfair labor practice proceedings before the Public Employment Relations Commission.

possible to conclude that a cause of action existed at that time. Rochon was given a period of 21 days in which to file and serve amended complaints, or face dismissal of the cases.

No further information has been filed by Rochon. The Unfair Labor Practice Manager dismisses the complaints for failure to state a cause of action.

DISCUSSION

Complaint against Employer

The allegations of the complaint in Case 20297-U-06-5167 concern employer domination or assistance of a union in violation of RCW 41.56.140(2), by unspecified actions related to its intent not to renew a memorandum of agreement concerning lead transit parts specialist and lead purchasing specialist classifications.

The deficiency notice pointed out several defects with the complaint. One, the Commission is bound by the following provisions of Chapter 41.56 RCW:

RCW 41.56.160 COMMISSION TO PREVENT UNFAIR LABOR PRACTICES AND ISSUE REMEDIAL ORDERS AND CEASE AND DESIST ORDERS. (1) The commission is empowered and directed to prevent any unfair labor practice and to issue appropriate remedial orders: PROVIDED, That a complaint shall not be processed for any unfair labor practice occurring more than six months before the filing of the complaint with the commission.

The complaint contains information concerning events occurring more that six months before filing of the complaint. Events described in the statement of facts attached to the complaint occurring before September 24, 2005, will be considered merely as background information. The complaint is limited to allegations of employer misconduct occurring on or after September 24, 2005.

Two, it is not possible to conclude that a cause of action exists for the allegations of employer domination or assistance of a union in violation of RCW 41.56.140(2). None of the facts alleged in the complaint suggest that the employer has involved itself in the internal affairs or finances of the union, or that the employer has attempted to create, fund, or control a "company union." *City of Anacortes*, Decision 6863 (PECB, 1999).

Complaint against Union

The allegations of the complaint in Case 20298-U-06-5168 concern union interference with employee rights in violation of RCW 41.56.150(1), by failing to respond when notified of management's intent not to renew a memorandum of agreement concerning lead transit parts specialist and lead purchasing specialist classifications.

The deficiency notice pointed out several defects with the complaint. One, as for the complaint against the employer, the complaint is limited to allegations of union misconduct occurring on or after September 24, 2005.

Two, the Commission does not assert jurisdiction over "breach of duty of fair representation" claims arising exclusively out of the processing of contractual grievances. *Mukilteo School District (Public School Employees of Washington)*, Decision 1381 (PECB, 1982). While a union does owe a duty of fair representation to bargaining unit employees with respect to the processing of grievances, such claims must be pursued before a court which can assert jurisdiction to determine (and remedy, if appropriate) any underlying contract violation.

Three, the process used by a union to decide what proposals to present to a public employer in collective bargaining negotiations, or what proposals to accept in negotiations, is purely of a union's own creation. Such process is part of a union's internal affairs and is often controlled by a union's constitution and/or bylaws.

The constitution and bylaws of a union are the contracts among the members of a union for how the organization is to be operated. Disputes concerning alleged violations of the constitution and bylaws of a union must be resolved through internal procedures of the union or the courts. *Enumclaw School District*, Decision 5979 (PECB, 1997).

Four, RCW 41.56.150(1) prohibits union interference with employee rights, and threats of reprisal or force or promises of benefit associated with the union activity of employees made by union officials, are unlawful. However, the alleged facts are insufficient to conclude that the union made any threats of reprisal or force or promises of benefit, in violation of RCW 41.56.150(1).

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

ORDERED

The complaints charging unfair labor practices in the above captioned matters are DISMISSED for failure to state a cause of action.

ISSUED at Olympia, Washington, this 2^{nd} day of June, 2006.

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

MARK S. DOWNING, Unfair Labor Practice Manager

This order will be the final order of the agency unless a notice of appeal is filed with the Commission under WAC 391-45-350.

112 HENRY STREET NE P. O. BOX 40919 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98504-0919 MARILYN GLENN SAYAN, CHAIRPERSON PAMELA G. BRADBURN, COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS G.MOONEY, COMMISSIONER MARVIN L. SCHURKE, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

RECORD OF SERVICE - ISSUED 06/02/2006

The attached document identified as: DECISION 9339 - PECB has been served by the Public Employment Relations Commission by deposit in the United States mail, on the date issued indicated above, postage prepaid, addressed to the parties and their representatives listed in the docket records of the Commission as indicated below:

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

BY:/S/ ROBBIE DUFFIELD

CASE NUMBER:

20297-U-06-05167

FILED:

03/24/2006

FILED BY:

PARTY 2

DISPUTE:

ER DOMINATION

BAR UNIT:

TRANSIT BUS

DETAILS:

COMMENTS:

EMPLOYER:

KING COUNTY

ATTN: RON SIMS

701 5TH AVE STE 3210 SEATTLE, WA 98104-7055

Ph1: 206-296-1737

REP BY:

NORM MALENG

KING COUNTY PROSECUTORS OFFICE

W554 KING CO COURTHOUSE

516 3RD AVE RM 554 SEATTLE, WA 98104

Ph1: 206-296-9067

Ph2: 206-296-9000

REP BY:

DAVID LEVIN KING COUNTY 500 4TH AVE RM 450 MS ADM-ES-0450

SEATTLE, WA 98104-2372

Ph1: 206-296-8585

PARTY 2:

MICHAEL ROCHON

ATTN:

7353 29TH AVE SW SEATTLE, WA 98126 Ph1: 206-938-2348

PARTY 3: ATTN:

ATU LOCAL 587 LANCE NORTON

2815 2ND AVE STE 230 SEATTLE, WA 98121-1261

Ph1: 206-448-8588

Ph2: 800-847-4696

112 HENRY STREET NE P. O. BOX 40919 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98504-0919 MARILYN GLENN SAYAN, CHAIRPERSON PAMELA G. BRADBURN, COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS G.MOONEY, COMMISSIONER MARVIN L. SCHURKE, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

RECORD OF SERVICE - ISSUED 06/02/2006

The attached document identified as: DECISION 9340 - PECB has been served by the Public Employment Relations Commission by deposit in the United States mail, on the date issued indicated above, postage prepaid, addressed to the parties and their representatives listed in the docket records of the Commission as indicated below:

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

BY:/S/ ROBBIE DUFFIELD

CASE NUMBER:

20298-U-06-05168

FILED:

03/24/2006

FILED BY:

PARTY 2

DISPUTE:

UN INTERFERENCE

BAR UNIT:

TRANSIT BUS

DETAILS:

Union Interfernce

COMMENTS:

EMPLOYER:

ATTN:

KING COUNTY

RON SIMS

701 5TH AVE STE 3210

SEATTLE, WA 98104-7055

Ph1: 206-296-1737

REP BY:

NORM MALENG

KING COUNTY PROSECUTORS OFFICE

W554 KING CO COURTHOUSE

516 3RD AVE RM 554 SEATTLE, WA 98104

Ph1: 206-296-9067

Ph2: 206-296-9000

REP BY:

DAVID LEVIN KING COUNTY 500 4TH AVE RM 450

MS ADM-ES-0450 SEATTLE, WA 98104-2372

Ph1: 206-296-8585

PARTY 2:

MICHAEL ROCHON

ATTN:

7353 29TH AVE SW SEATTLE, WA 98126 Ph1: 206-938-2348

PARTY 3: ATTN: ATU LOCAL 587 LANCE NORTON

2815 2ND AVE STE 230 SEATTLE, WA 98121-1261

Ph1: 206-448-8588

Ph2: 800-847-4696