### STATE OF WASHINGTON

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

In the matter of the petition of:	)	
CLARK COUNTY DISPATCHERS GUILD	)	CASE 18882-E-04-2993
Involving certain employees of:	)	DECISION 8834 - PECB
	)	INTERIM CERTIFICATION
CLARK REGIONAL EMERGENCY	)	
SERVICES AGENCY	)	
	)	Representation Election
	)	By Agreement of Parties

Emmal Skalbania and Vinnedge by Sydney D. Vinnedge, Attorney at Law, appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

Karen Johnson, Human Resources Manager, appeared on behalf of the employer.

Michael Richards, Business Representative, appeared on behalf of the intervenor, OEPIU, Local 11.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The above-named petitioner filed with the Public Employment Relations Commission a petition for investigation of a question concerning representation of employees of the above-named employer. The petition was timely filed and was accompanied by a showing of interest which was administratively determined by the Commission to be sufficient.
- 2. Following an investigation conference, the Commission proceeded with determination of the question concerning representation, and issues framed concerning the eligibility of certain employees for inclusion in the bargaining unit were reserved for subsequent determination.
- 3. These representation proceedings were conducted by the Commission in the bargaining unit described as:

ALL FULL-TIME AND REGULAR PART-TIME COMMUNICA-TIONS DISPATCHERS AND SUPERVISING COMMUNICATIONS DISPATCHERS OF THE CLARK REGIONAL EMERGENCY SERVICES AGENCY, EXCLUDING SUPERVISORS, AND CONFIDENTIAL EMPLOYEES 4. All proceedings were conducted under the supervision of the Commission in a manner designed to afford the affected employees a free choice in the selection of a bargaining representative, if any; a tally of the results was previously furnished to the parties and is attached hereto; the reserved eligibility issues do not affect the outcome of the question concerning representation; and no meritorious objections have been filed with respect to these proceedings.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The unit described in paragraph 3 of the foregoing Findings of Fact is an appropriate unit for the purposes of collective bargaining within the meaning of RCW 41.56.060.
- 2. All conditions precedent to issuance of an interim certification have been met.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is

#### CERTIFIED

1. The employees of the above-named employer in the appropriate bargaining unit described in paragraph 3 of the foregoing Findings of Fact have chosen:

### CLARK COUNTY DISPATCHERS GUILD

as their exclusive bargaining representative for the purpose of collective bargaining with their employer.

2. The above-captioned matter is remanded for further proceedings on the eligibility issues reserved as described in paragraph 2 of the foregoing Findings of Fact.

Issued at Olympia, Washington, this <u>14th</u> day of January, 2005.

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

MÁRVIN L. SCHURKE, Executive Director

Έ-9 (10/		DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE	
1	PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION Street: 603 EVERGREEN PLAZA BUILDING - 711 CAPITOL WAY		
	Mail: P.O. BOX 40919 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98504-0919 (360) 753-3444		
	R C C		
	RUN-DEF - TALLY OF		
	Run-DEE - TALLY OF ELECTION BALLOTS		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Instructi	ons: See other side of this form. Applicable Rule: WAC 391-25-550		
CASE	NUMBER/8882 E. 04-2993 EMPLOYER CLark Regiona	l Emergency Service	
	ublic Employment Relations Commission has tabulated the ballots cast in the election e results of the election are as follows:	conducted in this case, and certifies.	
1.	APPROXIMATE NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE VOTERS	40	
2.	VOID BALLOTS		
3.	VOID BALLOTS VOTES CAST FOR <u>CLARK CO Dispatchers Guil</u> VOTES CAST FOR <u>OPETU, LOCAL II</u>	D	
4.	VOTES CAST FOR OPETU, LOCAL !!		
5.	VOTES CAST FOR	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
6	VOTES CAST FOR NO REPRESENTATION		
7.	VALID BALLOTS COUNTED (Total of lines 3 through 6)	32	
8.	CHALLENGED BALLOTS CAST	<u> </u>	
9.	VALID BALLOTS COUNTED PLUS CHALLENGED BALLOTS (Total of lines 7 and 8)		
.10.	NUMBER OF VALID BALLOTS NEEDED TO DETERMINE ELECTION		
11.	CHALLENGED BALLOTS (check one):		
	[ ] - ARE SUFFICIENT IN NUMBER TO AFFECT THE RESULTS OF THE ELECTION.		
	X - DO NOT AFFECT THE OUTCOME OF THE ELECTION.		
12.	THE RESULTS OF THE ELECTION APPEAR TO BE (check one):		
	[] - INCONCLUSIVE, SO THAT A RUNOFF ELECTION WILL BE NECESSARY.		
	$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ - conclusive, favoring the choice listed on line $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , above.		
	PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELAT	IONS COMMISSION	
DATE	ISSUED Jan 6,2005 BY Sally Ju	JERSON	
	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF OBSERVERS		
Theun	dersigned acted as authorized observers for the parties, and acknowledge service o	f a copy of this tally of ballots	
For the I	Employer Title	Date	
For orga	inization listed on Line 3	Date	
For orga	nization listed on Line 4	Date	
For orga	inization listed on Line 5	Date	

..... Title .....

Date .....

For decertification petitioner