In the matter of the petition of:
MONTESANO POLICE DEPARTMENT EMPLOYEES

Involving certain employees of: CITY OF MONTESANO

CASE NO. 4517-E-83-831

DECISION NO. 2138 - PECB

ORDER

William Brookshire, Authorized Representative, appeared on behalf of the petitioner, Montesano Police Department Emp loyees.

Daniel 0. Glenn, City Attorney, appeared on behalf of the employer, City of Montesano.

Ed L. Jacobson, Secretary-Treasurer, appeared on behalf of the intervenor, Teamsters, Local 252.

On February 23, 1983, the Montesano Police Department Employees filed a petition for investigation of a question concerning representation, claiming that the employees desired to change their designation of exclusive bargaining representative. Teamsters Union Local 252 was granted intervention on the basis of its status as exclusive bargaining representative of petitioned-for employees in two separately certified bargaining units: one of law enforcement personnel and one of communications (dispatcher) employees. At a pre-hearing conference held on March 21, 1983, the petitioner sought one combined bargaining unit. The parties were notified in the Statement of Results of Pre-Hearing Conference that the Commission would allow a commingled unit of uniformed and non-uniformed personnel in cities under 15,000 in population, and that the petitioned-for commingled unit would require a unit determination election to show the desires of the employees on the bargaining unit structure. A second vote was directed to determine the choice of bargaining representative. The elections were held May 13, 1983. The unit determination election among the communications employees was conclusive favoring a consolidated unit. The unit determination election among the uniformed personnel was inconclusive, since a challenged ballot was sufficient to affect the results. All ballots cast on the representation question were impounded. The case was held in abeyance while the parties sought resolution of the challenged ballot through civil service procedures and appeals to the court system.

On January 3, 1985, without any resolution of the challenged ballot issue, the petitioner moved to strike the request for a combined unit and proceed in the two historically separate units. The city and the incumbent concurred in the motion.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS

## ORDERED

1. The unit determination election is stricken and all ballots cast on the unit determination question are void.
2. Separate questions concerning representation shall be determined in the two separate units as historically existing. The impound of ballots cast in the two representation elections is dissolved. Separate tallies of ballots are attached.

DATED at Olympia, Washington, this 21st day of January, 1985.


MARVIN L. SCHURKE, Executive Director

This Order may be appealed by filing timely objections with the Commission pursuant to WAC 391-25-590.

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

The undersigned agent of the Public Employment Relations Commission certifies thathe/she has conducted a crosscheck of records in the above case, and that the re-suits were as follows:
Number of Employees in Bargaining tit.
Number of Employee Records Examined
Number of Employee Records Counted as Valid Evidence of Representation
$\qquad$
NAME OFEMPLOYER
$\qquad$ CASE NUMBER 4517-E-83-831

## PART 1 -CROSS-CHECK OF RECORDS

## PART 2 - SECRET BALLOT ELECTION

the results of the tabulation of ballots cast in the election held in the abovecase, and concluded on the date indicated below, were as follows:

1. Approximate number of eligible voters ..... 5
2. Void Ballots ..... 0 ..... 
3. Votes Cast For: Montesano Police Department Employees . 2 ..... 24. Votes Cast For: Teamsters Local No. 252... 1
The undersigned agent of the Public Employment Relations Commission certifies that$\ldots 1$
4. Votes Cast For:
$\qquad$ $\cdots-$
5. Votes Cast For: NO REPRESENTATION.

$\qquad$$\cdots-$
7. Valid Ballots Counted.(total of $3,4,5$, and 6 ) ..... 3
8. Challenged Ballots ..... 1
9. Valid Ballots Counted plus Challenged Ballots (total of 7 and 8). ..... 4
10. Number of Valid Ballots Needed to Determine Election ..... 3
Challenges $\frac{\boxtimes}{\square}$ areThe results of the election appear to be inconclusive.
The results of the election appear to be
$\boxtimes$ inconclusive.
conclusive favoring choice on line

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION
DATE ISSUED


By


The undersigned acted as authorized observers if the counting and tabulating of ballots indicated above. We hereby certify that the counting and tabulating were fairly and accurately done, that the secrecy of the ballots was maintained, and that the results were as indicated above. We also acknowledge service of this tally. For For $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
For $\qquad$ For $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


## PART 2 - SECRET BALLOT ELECTION

The undersigned agent of the Public Employment Relations Commission certifies that the results of the tabulation of ballots cast in the election held in the above case, and concluded on the date indicated below, were as follows:

1. Approximate number of eligible voters................................................
2. Void Ballots........................................................................... (
3. Votes Cast For: Montesano Police Department Employees $\quad . .2$
4. Votes Cast For: Teamsters Local No. 252 $\cdots-1$
5. Votes Cast For: $\qquad$ $\ldots-$
6. Votes Cast For: NO REPRESENTATION. $\qquad$
7. Valid Ballots Counted. (total of $3,4,5$, and 6 )............................. 4
8. Challenged Ballots 1
9. Valid Ballots Counted plus Challenged Ballots (total of 7 and 8).... 5
10. Number of Valid Ballots Needed to Determine Election. 3 Challenges $\square$ are
are The results of the election appear to be

inconclusive.
conclusive favoring choice on line $\qquad$
PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION DATE ISSUED $\qquad$


The undersigned acted as authorized observers in the counting and tabulating of ballots indicated above. We hereby certify that the counting and tabulating were fairly and accurately done, that the secrecy of the ballots was maintained, and that the results were as indicated above. We also acknowledge service of this tally. For $\qquad$ For
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

For $\qquad$ For $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

